

1997-98 Louisiana Progress Profiles

District Composite Report

Tangipahoa Parish

Published March 1999

Prepared by the
Louisiana Department of Education
Office of Management and Finance
Division of Planning, Analysis, and Information Resources

Louisiana State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education

Ms. Glenny Lee Buquet
President
3rd BESE District

Mr. Clifford Baker
Vice-President
8th BESE District

Mr. Keith Johnson
Secretary-Treasurer
2nd BESE District

Ms. Donna Contois
1st BESE District

Mr. Walter Lee
4th BESE District

Dr. James Stafford
5th BESE District

Dr. Richard Musemeche
6th BESE District

Dr. John A. Bertrand
7th BESE District

Mr. Gerald Dill
Member-at-Large

Ms. Leslie Jacobs
Member-at-Large

Mr. Paul G. Pastorek
Member-at-Large

Ms. Weegie Peabody
Executive Director

This public document is published at a total cost of \$19,142; 2,780 copies of this public document were published in the first printing at a cost of \$19,142. The total cost of all printings of this document, including reprints, is \$19,142. This document was published by the Louisiana Department of Education, Post Office Box 94064, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804-9064 to aid in the interpretation of *School Profiles* under authority of R.S. 17:21. This material was printed in accordance with the standards for printing by state agencies established pursuant to R.S. 43:31.

TABLE OF CONTENTS



**Louisiana Department of
Education**

P.O. Box 94064
Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9064

Cecil J. Picard
State Superintendent of Education

Introduction	i
Part 1. District Summary	
Parish Socioeconomic And Demographic Overview	1-1
District Financial Overview	1-3
Part 2. School Characteristics	
Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher	2-7
Class Size Characteristics	2-9
Part 3. Student Participation	
Student Attendance	3-1
Student Dropouts	3-7
Students Suspended and Expelled	3-13
Part 4. Student Achievement	
Reading Level Evaluation Results	4-1
Criterion-referenced Test (CRT) Results	4-9
Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results	4-19
Part 5. College Readiness	
American College Test (ACT) Results	5-1
First-Time Freshmen Performance	5-3
Glossary	

KEY TO TABLES

Table 1: Schools in Tangipahoa Parish.....	2-1
Table 2: Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher	2-8
Table 3a: Class Size Characteristics - Elementary Schools	2-11
Table 3b: Class Size Characteristics - Middle/Jr. High Schools	2-14
Table 3c: Class Size Characteristics - High Schools	2-16
Table 4a: Percent of Student Attendance - Elementary Schools	3-3
Table 4b: Percent of Student Attendance - Middle/Jr. High Schools	3-4
Table 4c: Percent of Student Attendance - High Schools	3-5
Table 5: Student Dropouts	3-9
Table 6a: Students Suspended and Expelled - Elementary Schools	3-15
Table 6b: Students Suspended and Expelled - Middle/Jr. High Schools	3-19
Table 6c: Students Suspended and Expelled - High Schools	3-21
Table 7a: Reading Level Evaluation Results - Grade 2	4-3
Table 7b: Reading Level Evaluation Results - Grade 3	4-6
Table 8a: Criterion-referenced Test (LEAP) Results - Grade 3	4-10
Table 8b: Criterion-referenced Test (LEAP) Results - Grade 5	4-12
Table 8c: Criterion-referenced Test (LEAP) Results - Grade 7.....	4-14
Table 8d: Graduate Exit Examination (GEE) Results	4-16
Table 9a: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 4	4-21
Table 9b: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 6	4-25
Table 9c: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 8	4-29
Table 9d: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 9	4-32
Table 9e: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 10	4-35
Table 9f: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 11.....	4-38
Table 10: American College Test (ACT) Results	5-2
Table 11: First-time College Freshmen Performance.....	5-5

The passage of the Children First Act in 1988 ushered in a new generation of analysis and research about the overall quality and condition of education in Louisiana. As the national reform of education continues to evolve, Louisiana is steadfast in its commitment to quality education and school accountability.

Progress Profiles (*School Report Cards*, *District Composite Reports*, and the *State Report*) provide information about schools to parents and the general public, provide a basis for educational planning, and increase educational accountability at all levels. By providing policy makers, parents, and other interested citizens valuable information on the inputs, processes, and outcomes of public education, these documents also offer a valuable resource for advancing school improvement.

The Progress Profiles Program, which is administered by the Louisiana Department of Education (LDE), Office of Management and Finance, Division of Planning, Analysis and Information Resources, was founded on the premise that educational improvement is most successful when parents, school staff, and policy makers have access to accurate information on a wide range of factors believed to influence student learning. The indicators included in the *Progress Profiles* were carefully selected because they:

- have been demonstrated through school effectiveness research to be related to student learning;
- represent key features of schooling that can be influenced by parents, school staff, and policy makers, and thus are useful for school improvement purposes; and
- yield the maximum amount of accurate and essential information possible without posing undue reporting burdens at either the school or district level.

To offer the most comprehensive overview possible and serve the specific needs of varied audiences, three levels of reporting are provided.

1. *School Report Cards* are tailored to the needs of parents and the general public. For 1997-98, *School Report Cards* were produced for **1,414 of 1,445** public elementary, middle/junior high, high, and combination schools statewide.
2. *District Composite Reports* are produced for all 66 Louisiana public school districts. The most detailed and comprehensive of the three levels of reporting, these reports offer local and state-level policy makers longitudinal data.
3. The *Louisiana Progress Profiles State Report* is best suited to the needs of the general reader because it provides a succinct overview of the major characteristics of Louisiana education based on *School Report Card* findings.

“Any effort to improve schools must be designed to meet the goal of creating an active, thinking curriculum in specific disciplines, and success should be judged by whether increasing numbers of students reach agreed-upon performance standards.”

—Bill Honig

Phi Delta Kappan, June 1994

Purpose of the *District Composite Report*

The purpose of the *District Composite Report* is to provide information relevant to the condition of education in Louisiana. This report provides detailed longitudinal information on various indicators as well as analysis of data where feasible. It serves as an effective tool to aid policy makers and district administrators in identifying opportunities for school improvement.

Organization of this Report

The summary tables following this introduction offer district-level information for all indicators. In addition to quick-reference tables at the front of this report, district socioeconomic and demographic data are provided to aid readers. Financial information is included to give a more complete picture of Louisiana school districts.

The remainder of the report is organized into five parts, each encompassing a series of related educational indicators.

- *Part 1. District Summary.* School performance is influenced by community socioeconomic characteristics and by the level of local financial support for public education. Part 1 therefore presents parish (as opposed to district) demographic and socioeconomic indicators ranging from household income distribution and teen pregnancy rate to district revenue, expenditures, and average teacher salaries. District summary tables of all *Profile* indicators also are provided in Part 1.
- *Part 2. School Characteristics.* The context within which students are educated and the level of educational resources available to them impact learning. Part 2 focuses on key educational “inputs” and resources at the school level, i.e., the size of the student body and faculty, the school’s category (e.g., elementary schools, middle schools, etc.), class sizes, and the academic preparation of faculty.
- *Part 3. Student Participation.* For students to receive an education, they must first have the opportunity to learn; thus, the extent to which students are present and actively engaged in schooling is of vital importance (Oakes, 1989). Part 3 presents

three indicators that provide some measure of student participation: attendance, suspensions/expulsions, and dropouts.

- *Part 4. Student Achievement.* Part 4 reports three types of school-level outputs: student performance on 1) reading level evaluation results for grades 2 and 3, which assess students’ ability to read and comprehend on grade level, 2) criterion-referenced tests (CRTs), which measure students’ performance on state-prescribed curricula, and 3) norm-referenced tests (NRTs), which indicate how Louisiana students compare with other students nationally. The Reading Level Evaluation Results reported on the *School Report Cards* are based on the assessment conducted on second and third grade students by their teachers at each profile school. The CRT results reported on the *School Report Cards* are based on student performance on Louisiana Educational Assessment Program (LEAP) tests administered at the third, fifth, and seventh grade levels and on the Graduation Exit Examination (GEE), which is administered in grades 10 and 11. The NRT results, which are also part of LEAP, reflect student performance utilizing two tests: 1) *The Iowa Tests of Basic Skills (ITBS)* which is administered for grades 4, 6, and 8, and 2) *The Iowa Tests of Educational Development (ITED)* which is administered for grades 9, 10, and 11.
- *Part 5. College Readiness.* One goal of elementary-secondary schooling is to ensure that those students seeking an advanced education are adequately prepared for college. The *School Report Cards* present two indicators of college readiness: 1) student performance on the American College Test (ACT), a national test commonly used for college placement purposes, and 2) the percentage of high school graduates who take remedial courses as first-time college freshmen.

A brief narrative, organized as follows introduces each indicator presented in this report:

- an introduction to the indicator and its significance in the study and/or promotion of student learning;

-
- a description of how data are organized in the accompanying table(s);
 - a description of how data appear in the *School Report Cards*;
 - definitions of key terms, where applicable;
 - formulas/equations used to calculate statistics, where applicable; and
 - the source(s) of the data presented.

A glossary at the end of this report provides operational definitions for key terms.

School Categorization

The mission, organizational structure, and outcomes of schooling vary depending on the level of instruction (i.e., elementary, middle, etc.) (Levine & Lezotte, 1990). In recognition of this, the Profiles Program began in 1993-94 to group schools into four reporting categories based on level of schooling: elementary, middle/junior high, high, and combination (i.e., K-12).

Category comparison statistics are presented by district and for the state as a whole for those indicators that are not reported by grade level; these include class size, attendance, suspension, and expulsion. This homogeneous grouping of schools by level of instruction fosters probably the fairest comparisons; however, district and statewide comparison statistics also are provided.

Note: Category comparison statistics are provided for only those indicators that are reported at the school level. There are no category statistics for the testing and dropout indicators, which are reported by grade level and therefore have even greater comparability than those performance data that are reported by category.

All schools receiving 1997-98 *School Report Cards* are placed into one of four categories:

- *elementary*—any school whose grade structure falls within the K-8 range, excludes grades in the 9-12 range, and does not fit the definition for middle/junior high.
- *middle/junior high*—any school whose grade structure falls within the 4-9 range, includes grades 7 or 8, and excludes grades in the K-3 and 10-12 ranges.
- *high*—any school whose grade structure falls within the 6-12 range and includes grades in the 10-12 range, or any school that contains only grade 9.
- *combination*—any school whose grade structure falls within the K-12 range and is not described by any of the above definitions. These schools generally contain some grades in the K-6 range and some grades in the 9-12 range. Examples would include grade structures such as K-12; K-3, 9-12; and 4-6, 9-12.

If a school has been re-categorized due to a change in grade structure, that school's longitudinal data will appear in more than one category. For example, if Central High School had grades 9-12 from 1990-91 through 1992-93, its longitudinal data for those years would appear in the high school category. If Central High School became a K-12 school in 1993-94, its data in 1993-94 and thereafter would appear in the combination school category.

As a convenience to readers, the data tables that are organized by category are cross-referenced. In the above example, the high school category data would refer readers interested in Central High's longitudinal performance to the combination category data and vice versa.

Demographic Indicators Associated With Educational Attainment

Research has shown that demographic and socioeconomic variables affect student achievement. An analysis of the background characteristics of the student population places the school performance indicators in their broader context and helps shed light on the degree of difficulty that certain school districts or states experience in educating their particular student populations. In other

words, inclusion of the demographic indicators in Part 1 provides a context for interpretation of the outcomes.

The *District Composite Report* presents the following socioeconomic and demographic information at the parish (not district), state and national levels:

- education attainment,
- labor force breakdown,
- unemployment rate,
- per capita income,
- household income distribution,
- population by race,
- single parent households,
- all persons living below the poverty level, and
- teen pregnancy rate.

The data are supplied by the U.S. Bureau of the Census, the Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals, and Northeast Louisiana University Center for Business and Economic Research.

District Financial Overview

There are many factors which contribute to the overall profile of a school district. Financial information is one of the vital factors which are part of that profile. Inclusion of this information in Part 1 helps the reader understand how a public school district functions, and it provides additional context for the interpretation of educational indicators.

Longitudinal Analysis: Tracking School Progress Over Time

By law, the Progress Profiles Program is required to present six years of data (the current year and the five previous years). These longitudinal school-level data are presented in the *District Composite*

Report. Each year, the *Composite Reports* are updated by adding the most current year's data and deleting the data that are more than six years old. The *School Report Cards* and *Progress Profiles State Report*, on the other hand, present only the most current year of data so that parents and policy makers who want a very concise and current snapshot of education performance need not wade through voluminous amounts of information.

Incorporating longitudinal data in the *District Composite Report* enables policy makers to anticipate changes in educational outcomes, not just describe them (Smith, 1988). However, longitudinal reporting does complicate the presentation of data. To assist policy makers in interpreting data, tables in the *District Composite Report* have been formatted as follows:

1. *Cross-sectional data* (i.e., for any given year) are presented vertically in columns. School-to-school comparisons can be made within any given year by scanning up and down columns.
2. *Longitudinal data* are presented horizontally in rows. An individual school's progress on any single variable can be charted over time by scanning left-to-right across columns.
3. Schools are listed in *sequential order*, based on school site code and school category.
4. The 1995-96, 1996-97, and 1997-98 data are shaded as a reminder that 1995-96 was the first year that *Progress Profiles* data were extracted from the Student Information System (SIS), establishing a new baseline year for *Profiles* reporting purposes. **Comparisons between the 1995-96 and subsequent years to previous years' data are strongly discouraged (see box on next page).**

All longitudinal tracking of individual schools should be conducted with caution. Because schools, like other organizations, are constantly evolving, a school's name and/or grade configuration may change over time. Such changes may or may not signal a major change in the character of the school.

To facilitate longitudinal tracking of individual schools, the six-digit site codes that the LDE assigns to all public schools have been included in all tables. Barring a major change in grade structure at a school, these site codes remain constant over time and therefore are much more reliable identifiers than the school name itself.

In some instances, longitudinal data on a specific indicator are not available for every site due to school openings, closings, and/or reorganizations. Occasionally, an entire indicator may be added. For example, first-time college freshmen data were added to the *School Report Cards* in 1992-93. In instances such as these, the tilde symbol (~) represents “unavailable data.”

Data sometimes are not strictly comparable from one year to the next due to changes in reporting periods, data sources, and/or revisions in indicator definitions. In these instances, the data are footnoted to alert the reader to use caution in drawing longitudinal comparisons.

1995-96 Was A New Baseline Year Comparisons With Prior Years Data Are Strongly Discouraged

Special caution is urged in comparing 1995-96, 1996-97, and 1997-98 performance information to data from prior years because the Progress Profiles Program underwent two major changes in 1995.

1. **All 1995-96, 1996-97, and 1997-98 Student Participation data (i.e., attendance, suspensions/expulsions, and dropouts), some School Characteristics data (i.e., October 1 membership), and some College Readiness data (i.e., graduates) were taken from the student-level Student Information System (SIS).** The SIS data are much more detailed and more precisely defined than the aggregated data collected in prior years. They are not comparable, however, to the school summary data reported prior to 1995-96.
2. **All Student Participation indicators and most Achievement Indicators (i.e., norm- and criterion-referenced test results) have been expanded to include both regular and special education students.** In previous years, these indicators were limited to regular education students.

As a special caution to readers, the columns of 1995-96, 1996-97, and 1997-98 data are shaded. Data from prior years are presented only for the convenience of readers whose information needs are more historical than comparative.

The Challenge: Accurate and Reliable Reporting

Measurement is a process involving both theoretical as well as empirical considerations. Most assuredly, research based on the inadequate measurement of indicators does not result in a greater understanding of the particular indicator (Carmines and Zeller, 1979). Though it is widely recognized that the best educational policy is made when officials have access to accurate information, the use of inaccurate or unreliable data is more dangerous than no information at all. Recognizing this, every effort has been made to ensure the reliability and validity of the data reported on the *Progress Profiles*. Toward that end, LDE and district staff examine each indicator through a meticulous data correction and verification process.

The Progress Profiles Program has grown substantially over the past several years. The LDE has executed an elaborate process for data verification and analysis to ensure that quality is an intrinsic part of each *Progress Profiles* report.

Satisfying the Need for Analysis

Though all states have some form of educational performance indicator system, the criticism is often heard that too few do anything with the data. “The missing ingredient in most education indicator systems is analysis,” notes Allen Odden. “Analysis is critical; it makes sense of the data, explores relationships among the inputs, processes, and outputs of the educational system, and makes policy recommendations for change” (Odden, 1990).

In keeping with national trends toward supplementing educational indicator systems with policy-relevant analysis, LDE staff research the relationships among various *School Report Card* indicators and explore their utility as predictors of student performance. The results of some analyses are presented in shaded boxes accompanying the narrative introduction to each indicator.

References

- Carmines, E. G. and Zeller, R. A. (1979). Reliability and validity assessment. *Series: Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences*, 7 (017), 11,15.
- Children First Act of 1988. La. RS.17:3911-3912, *Louisiana Revised Statutes*.
- Franklin, B. J. and Crone, L. J. (1993, April). *Louisiana Progress Profiles*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Atlanta, Ga.
- Honig, B. (1994, June). How can Horace best be helped? *Phi Delta Kappan*. 75 (10), 790-796.
- Levine, D.U., & Lezotte, L.W. (1990). Context differences: Grade level, socioeconomic status, and rural schools. *Unusually effective schools: A review and analysis of research and practice*, Madison, WI: The National Center for Effective Schools Research and Development.
- Oakes, J. (1989). What educational indicators: The case for assessing the school context. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*. 11 (2), 181-199.
- Odden, A. (1990). Educational indicators in the United States: The need for analysis. *Educational Researcher*. 19 (5), 24-29.
- Smith, M. (1988). Educational indicators. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 69 (7), 487-491.

LDE research offers statistical support for what teachers and other educators have long assumed: schools that display the highest level of student achievement are schools with a high percentage of student attendance, a low percentage of students suspended, and a low percentage of student dropouts (Franklin and Crone, 1993).

Part 1. District Summary

Parish Socioeconomic And Demographic Overview	1-1
District Financial Overview	1-3

Parish Socioeconomic And Demographic Overview

The socioeconomic and demographic composition of the parish may shed light on household situations and thus the educational system of a school district. Issues such as income, poverty rate, single parent households, and teen pregnancy affect family function, which is strongly linked to achievement. This section examines state- and national-level information for each parish socioeconomic and demographic indicator presented.


Definitions

- *Education Attainment*—is divided into three levels:
 1. Less than high school degree: includes persons of compulsory school attendance age or above who are not enrolled in school and are not high school graduates.
 2. High school degree: includes persons whose highest degree is a high school diploma or its equivalent and those who have attempted some college or have received an associate degree. Persons who completed the twelfth grade but did not receive a diploma are not included.
 3. Bachelor's degree or higher: includes those persons who have received a college, university, or professional degree.
- *Labor Force*—is divided into four categories:
 1. White collar: includes persons with executive, administrative, and managerial occupations; professional specialty occupations; technicians and related support occupations; sales occupations; and administrative support occupations, including clerical.
 2. Blue collar: includes persons with precision production, craft, and repair occupations; transportation and material moving occupations; positions held by machine operators, assemblers, and inspectors; and positions held by handlers, equipment cleaners, helpers, and laborers.
 3. Service & Other: includes persons with private household occupations, protective service occupations, and other service occupations.
 4. Agriculture: includes persons who perform farming, forestry, and fishing industry jobs.
- *Household Income Distribution*—is divided into seven major groups. The annual income range begins with below \$15,000 and ends with \$100,000 and above.
- *Population by Race*—is divided into three major groups, white, black, and “other.” The “other” category consists of Native Americans and Asian/Pacific Islanders. It should be noted that, according to the 1990 Bureau of Census data, Hispanic origin can be viewed as the ancestry, nationality group, lineage, or country of birth of the person or the person's parents or ancestors before their arrival in the United States. Persons of Hispanic origin may be of any race and are therefore included in the categories of white, black, and “other.”
- *Single Parent Household Rate*—is the number of single parent households divided by the total number of households.
- *Poverty Threshold*—is revised to allow for changes in the cost of living as reflected in the Consumer Price Index. According to the 1990 Bureau of the Census data, the average poverty threshold for a family of four persons was \$12,674.
- *Teen Pregnancy Rate*—is the total number of teenage girls under the age of 19 divided by the total number of pregnant women.
- *Per capita income*—is the average income computed for every man, woman, and child in a particular group. The Census Bureau derived per capita income by dividing the total income of a particular group by the total population in that group (excluding patients or inmates in institutional quarters).
- *Unemployment rate*—is the total number of persons not working, who are available and seeking work, regardless of age, as a percentage of the civilian labor force. This is considered the official unemployment rate and is typically cited in comparisons.

Tangipahoa Parish Socioeconomic and Demographic Overview

As each school district works toward its educational vision and goals, social and economic factors within the parish may directly or indirectly affect the educational experience of students. An overview of the relevant demographic and socioeconomic profile of each parish places the education indicator data presented in this report in the proper context. These data provide a socioeconomic and demographic profile of the parish as a whole, not the public school district. In preparing this section, every effort was made to obtain the most recent data available for each indicator.


Education Attainment



	Bachelor's or Higher	High School	Less Than High School
State	16.1%	68.3%	15.6%
Nation	24.7%	62.2%	13.1%

Sources: Northeast Louisiana University, Center for Business and Economic Research and NCES, 1995.


Labor Force



	White Collar	Blue Collar	Service & Other	Agriculture
State	55.9%	27.4%	14.2%	2.5%
Nation	57.8%	25.6%	13.7%	2.9%

Source: US Bureau of Census, 1990.


Household Income Distribution



	<\$15	\$15-24	\$25-34	\$35-49	\$50-74	\$75-99	\$100+
State	36.3%	18.8%	14.8%	14.7%	10.3%	2.7%	2.4%
Nation	24.3%	17.4%	15.2%	17.3%	15.4%	6.0%	4.4%

Source: US Bureau of Census, 1990.

Population by Race



	White	Black	Other
State	67.3%	30.8%	1.9%
Nation	83.9%	12.3%	3.8%

Tangipahoa Parish, p. 1-2

Poverty Level

	Parish	State	Nation
All Persons Living Below Poverty Level	29.5%	23.6%	15.7%

Source: Northeast Louisiana University, Center for Business and Economic Research, 1993.

Single Parenthood

	Parish	State	Nation
Single Parent Households	20.1%	19.1%	14.8%

Source: US Bureau of Census, 1990.

Source: US Bureau of Census, 1990.

Labor Related Statistics

	Parish	State	Nation
Per Capita Income ¹	\$15,928	\$19,709	\$24,436
Unemployment Rate ²	9.3%	6.6%	5.4%

Sources: 1) Northeast Louisiana University, Center for Business and Economic Research, 1996. 2) Bureau of Labor and Statistics, US Dept of Labor, 1996.

Teen Pregnancy

	Parish	State	Nation
Teen Pregnancy Rate	23.0%	18.9%	12.9%

Source: Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals, 1996.

District Financial Overview

Financial information broadens the understanding of how public school districts function and provides additional context for the interpretation of educational indicators. The two major components of the financial information are revenues and expenditures.

Definitions

- **Revenues**—are governmental funds appropriated for public education. Revenues are received from four main sources:
 1. **Local:** monies collected directly by a district through taxes (ad valorem, sales, and use taxes), bonds, revenues from other local government units, tuition, transportation fees, earnings of investments, food service, and community service.
 2. **State:** monies received from the state government through Louisiana's Minimum Foundation Program (MFP) formula, grants-in-aid, and specific programs such as the Early Childhood Program.
 3. **Federal:** monies received from the federal government through a variety of programs such as Title I, Impact Aid Fund, Reserve Officer Training Corps Program (ROTC), Headstart Programs, School Food Service, Adult Basic Education, and Special Education.
 4. **District revenues per pupil:** total revenues divided by the adjusted October 1 funded student membership.
- **Expenditures**—are charges incurred, whether paid or unpaid, which benefit the current fiscal year. Total expenditures include the following categories:*
- 1. **Instructional Expenditures:** monies spent for classroom instruction, pupil support, and instructional staff support.

2. **Non-instructional expenditures:** monies spent for school administration, business services, operations and maintenance, transportation, food services, enterprises, and community services.
3. **Facility Acquisition & Construction Services:** monies spent for activities concerned with acquiring land and buildings, remodeling buildings, constructing buildings and additions to buildings, initially installing or extending service systems and other built-in equipment, and improving sites.
4. **District expenditures per pupil:** total expenditures minus debt service divided by the adjusted October 1 funded membership.

Additional items frequently of interest to the public are *average salary of full-time teachers* and *beginning teacher salary*. Average salary calculations include full-time classroom teachers and librarians; special education teachers, aides, guidance counselors, and part-time teachers are not included. *Beginning teacher salary* is defined as the salary paid to a new teacher with a bachelor's degree and no experience. This information is different from *average salary of full-time teachers*, which is an average of all teachers' salaries in the district.

Note: Some districts' financial data may be adjusted after the publication of this report due to audits. The financial information in this section is based on the December 1, 1998, figures provided by the Office of Management and Finance, LDE.

* Debt service and other long-term obligations are not included in expenditure figures because these monies provide services during multiple years and should not be attributed to only one year.


Tangipahoa Parish Financial Profile

District Revenue by Source									
Revenue Source	1995-96			1996-97			1997-98		
	Amount	% of District Total	State Average %	Amount	% of District Total	State Average %	Amount	% of District Total	State Average %
Local	\$22,142,697	27.7%	36.8%	\$24,025,450	27.3%	37.4%	\$24,890,246	25.8%	37.6%
State	\$46,490,288	58.1%	50.9%	\$52,789,320	60.1%	50.8%	\$59,500,295	61.7%	51.0%
Federal	\$11,443,671	14.3%	12.3%	\$11,068,937	12.6%	11.8%	\$12,121,649	12.6%	11.4%
Total	\$80,076,656	100.0%	100.0%	\$87,883,707	100.0%	100.0%	\$96,512,190	100.0%	100.0%

Adjusted October 1 Student Membership		
1995-96	1996-97	1997-98
17,971	18,391	18,615

Revenues Per Pupil			
	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98
Local	\$4,456	\$4,779	\$5,185
State Average	\$4,981	\$5,296	\$5,818

Teacher Salaries			
Year	Local Beginning Salary	Local Average Salary	State Average Salary
1995-96	\$18,799	\$25,249	\$26,800
1996-97	\$20,679	\$27,774	\$29,025
1997-98	\$23,208	\$31,675	\$31,131



District Expenditures by Category									
Expenditure Category	1995-96			1996-97			1997-98		
	Amount	% of District Total	State Average %	Amount	% of District Total	State Average %	Amount	% of District Total	State Average %
Instructional Expenditures	\$49,173,491	68.4%	68.0%	\$52,709,696	68.0%	68.2%	\$59,242,032	70.4%	68.9%
Non-Instructional Expenditures	\$22,678,545	31.6%	32.0%	\$24,818,663	32.0%	31.8%	\$24,963,630	29.6%	31.1%
Subtotal	\$71,852,036	100.0%	100.0%	\$77,528,359	100.0%	100.0%	\$84,205,662	100.0%	100.0%
Facility Acquisition & Construction Services	\$10,934,861			\$5,517,272			\$3,443,614		
Total Expenditures (excluding debt services)	\$82,786,897			\$83,045,631			\$87,649,276		

Notes:

1. District financial data may be adjusted as a result of audits conducted by the Louisiana Department of Education.
2. Percentages may not total to 100% due to rounding.
3. Revenue per pupil and operating expenditure per pupil are based on adjusted October 1 funded student membership.

District Indicator Summary Results

School Characteristics

Schools in Tangipahoa Parish

Schools in Tangipahoa Parish											
		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98				
October 1 Membership		17,541	17,569	17,872	17,866	18,043	18,336				
Number of Faculty		1,056	1,093	1,121	1,134	1,123	1,141				

Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher

Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher											
		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98				
<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
48.39	511	47.06	513	46.69	522	44.50	502	44.82	498	40.84	466

Class Size Characteristics for Grades K-12

Elementary Schools

Class Size Characteristics for Grades K-12	Class Size Characteristics for Grades K-12											
	1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98	
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
Elementary Schools												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	19.30	127	23.66	159	14.48	106	14.35	98	20.47	149
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	49.85	328	44.49	299	66.53	487	71.16	486	54.12	394
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	30.85	203	31.85	214	18.99	139	14.49	99	25.41	185
Intermediate High Schools												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	4.42	26	8.10	50	9.79	60	6.58	42	9.94	67
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	33.16	195	34.68	214	36.05	221	35.89	229	41.84	282
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	62.41	367	57.21	353	54.16	332	57.52	367	48.22	325
Senior High Schools												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	29.54	304	28.37	305	22.69	231	20.44	225	18.31	213
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	34.79	358	33.30	358	32.61	332	38.87	428	36.63	426
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	35.67	367	38.33	412	44.70	455	40.69	448	45.06	524
Charter Schools												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	~	~	~	~	100.00	8	~	~	~	~
Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	~	~	~	~
Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	~	~	~	~
Private Schools												
Class Size Range 1 - 20	20.61	454	20.09	457	21.74	514	17.08	405	15.07	365	16.73	429
Class Size Range 21 - 26	38.77	854	38.73	881	36.84	871	43.86	1,040	47.19	1,143	42.96	1,102
Class Size Range 27 or more	40.63	895	41.19	937	41.41	979	39.06	926	37.74	914	40.31	1,034

~ = Unavailable Data

District Indicator Summary Results

Student Participation

		Student Attendance					
		1992-93 ¹	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96 ²	1996-97	1997-98
Percent of Student Attendance							
<i>Elementary Schools</i>		~	92.56	94.39	94.11	94.94	94.85
<i>Middle/Jr. High Schools</i>		~	92.06	92.66	93.78	93.30	93.60
<i>High Schools</i>		~	90.68	90.71	90.87	91.49	91.08
<i>Combination Schools</i>		~	~	~	100.00	~	~
<i>All Schools</i>		92.26	91.93	93.04	93.10	93.60	93.44

		Student Dropouts											
		1992-93 ³		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
Student Dropouts													
Grade 7		0.00	0	0.14	2	0.73	11	4.47	76	1.34	21	0.13	2
Grade 8		0.00	0	0.71	10	1.28	18	9.92	176	1.85	28	0.67	10
Grade 9		1.67	30	5.65	98	7.05	126	16.52	311	13.29	295	7.72	144
Grade 10		0.61	8	2.84	37	4.44	59	19.58	346	11.36	150	8.15	128
Grade 11		0.89	9	3.17	34	1.93	22	16.79	225	6.70	77	5.67	63
Grade 12		0.25	2	1.56	12	2.08	18	18.71	195	5.98	49	3.50	33

¹ A standard attendance definition was piloted statewide in 1992-93 and implemented statewide in 1993-94; hence, prior years' data may not be comparable.

² Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

³ In 1992-93, Louisiana was in transition to the federal reporting calendar; hence, prior years' data may not be comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

District Indicator Summary Results

Student Participation (Continued)

Students Suspended and Expelled													
		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96 ¹		1996-97		1997-98	
		Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
Students Suspended and Expelled													
<i>Elementary Schools</i>													
Suspended (In School)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	5.40	562	6.62	650
Suspended (Out of School)		~	~	8.39	791	6.44	624	4.24	472	4.59	478	3.80	373
Expelled (In School)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)		~	~	0.10	9	0.06	6	0.04	4	0.04	4	0.03	3
<i>Middle/Jr. High Schools</i>													
Suspended (In School)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	19.77	750	26.75	931
Suspended (Out of School)		~	~	20.49	650	8.62	282	6.75	277	6.40	243	7.27	253
Expelled (In School)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)		~	~	0.76	24	0.46	15	0.46	19	0.37	14	0.49	17
<i>High Schools</i>													
Suspended (In School)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	16.78	1,071	18.46	1,150
Suspended (Out of School)		~	~	20.39	1,058	19.20	1,049	10.74	723	12.27	783	11.61	723
Expelled (In School)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)		~	~	0.29	15	0.48	26	0.37	25	0.14	9	0.14	9
<i>Combination Schools</i>													
Suspended (Out of School)		~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	~	~	~	~
Expelled (Out of School)		~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	~	~	~	~
<i>All Schools</i>													
Suspended (In School)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	11.79	2,383	13.82	2,728
Suspended (Out of School)		15.26	2,771	14.05	2,499	10.61	1,955	6.71	1,472	7.44	1,504	6.83	1,348
Expelled (In School)		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
Expelled (Out of School)		0.62	112	0.27	48	0.26	47	0.22	48	0.13	27	0.15	29

¹ Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

District Indicator Summary Results

Student Achievement

Reading Level Evaluation Results												
1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98 ¹		
Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	
Reading Level Evaluation Results - Grade 02												
Students Assessed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		1,394	
Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	79.41	1,107	
Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	13.92	194	
Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	6.67	93	
Reading Level Evaluation Results - Grade 03												
Students Assessed	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		1,297	
Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	69.39	900	
Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	18.35	238	
Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	12.26	159	

Percent of Students Passing CRT and Number of Students Tested												
1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96 ²		1996-97		1997-98		
Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	
Criterion-referenced Test (CRT) Results - Grade 03												
Language Arts	85	1,411	86	1,373	86	1,251	86	1,342	86	1,287	88	1,311
Mathematics	86	1,410	86	1,370	87	1,245	87	1,340	88	1,287	87	1,308
Criterion-referenced Test (CRT) Results - Grade 05												
Language Arts	89	1,259	87	1,240	87	1,299	84	1,390	84	1,317	85	1,343
Mathematics	89	1,258	88	1,240	89	1,296	87	1,386	89	1,319	87	1,342
Criterion-referenced Test (CRT) Results - Grade 07												
Language Arts	90	1,203	90	1,150	90	1,259	88	1,379	87	1,423	88	1,393
Mathematics	86	1,198	82	1,149	82	1,257	78	1,375	80	1,425	84	1,393
Graduation Exit Exam (GEE) Results												
Language Arts	93	1,008	91	992	90	1,015	89	1,140	85	1,039	89	1,280
Mathematics	83	1,011	78	988	79	1,017	78	1,140	77	1,032	76	1,280
Written Composition	93	994	90	974	97	993	96	1,110	94	1,005	97	1,252
Science	87	802	92	886	86	888	83	892	85	1,000	81	938
Social Studies	90	801	90	890	89	888	89	895	89	1,001	87	939

¹ Effective in 1997-98, the Louisiana Legislature required each second and third grade teacher to report the number of students reading below grade level.

² Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

District Indicator Summary Results

Student Achievement (Continued)

		Percent of Students by National Quartiles and Percentile Rank of Average Standard Scores for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests					
		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ²
Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 04							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	13.3
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	21.2
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.7
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	35.8
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	40.0
Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 06							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	17.8
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	20.5
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	32.1
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.5
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	44.0
Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 08							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	14.3
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	24.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.4
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	32.3
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	42.0
Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 09							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	14.8
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.2
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.5
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	30.5
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	43.0
Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 10							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	15.9
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	23.8
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	31.9
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	28.4
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	43.0

¹ Represents graduates from the previous school year.

² In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

District Indicator Summary Results

Student Achievement (Continued)

Percent of Students by National Quartiles and Percentile Rank of Average Standard Scores for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ²
Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 11							
Fourth Quartile		~	~	~	~	~	19.5
Third Quartile		~	~	~	~	~	25.7
Second Quartile		~	~	~	~	~	30.9
First Quartile		~	~	~	~	~	23.9
Percentile Rank		~	~	~	~	~	48.0

College Readiness

American College Test (ACT) Results						
1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98	
Average Composite Score	18.9	18.5	18.9	18.7	19.0	19.5

First-time College Freshmen Performance											
1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98						
<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
Number of High School Graduates ¹	712	735	776	895	726					~	
HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	34.83	248	32.93	242	35.57	276	40.11	359	39.25	285	~
First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Courses	47.58	118	51.24	124	48.55	134	47.35	170	46.31	132	~

¹ Represents graduates from the previous school year.

² In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Part 2. School Characteristics

Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher.....	2-7
Class Size Characteristics	2-9

Table 1
Schools in Tangipahoa Parish

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98
053001	Amite Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	K-4,S	K-4,S	K-4	K-4,NG	K-4,NG	K-4,NG
	October 1 Membership	755	726	680	676	627	673
	Number of Faculty	44	47	49	47	46	42
	Category	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
053002	Amite High School						
	Grade Structure	9-12,S	9-12,S	9-12	9-12,NG	9-12,NG	9-12,NG
	October 1 Membership	555	562	602	668	697	712
	Number of Faculty	39	39	41	40	47	46
	Category	~	High	High	High	High	High
053003	Champ Cooper Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	K-8,S	K-8,S	K-8	K-8,NG	K-8,NG	K-8,NG
	October 1 Membership	566	616	630	652	631	558
	Number of Faculty	35	36	39	38	36	33
	Category	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
053004	Chesbrough Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	P,K-6,S	P,K-6,S	P,K-6	K-6,NG	K-6	K-6
	October 1 Membership	338	333	313	324	378	355
	Number of Faculty	25	26	26	27	25	23
	Category	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
053009	Hammond High School						
	Grade Structure	9-12,S	9-12,S	9-12	9-12,NG	9-12,NG	9-12,NG
	October 1 Membership	1,090	1,077	1,152	1,281	1,243	1,257
	Number of Faculty	66	71	73	75	75	72
	Category	~	High	High	High	High	High
053010	Hammond Junior High School						
	Grade Structure	7-8,S	7-8,S	7-8	7-8,NG	7-8,NG	7-8,NG
	October 1 Membership	596	595	556	586	567	559
	Number of Faculty	38	41	41	39	38	40
	Category	~	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High
053011	Independence Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	K-4,S	K-4,S	K-4	K-4,NG	K-4,NG	K-4,NG
	October 1 Membership	508	489	484	460	468	441
	Number of Faculty	29	34	35	38	35	35
	Category	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary

~ = Unavailable Data S = Special Education P = Pre-kindergarten NG = Nongraded

Table 1
Schools in Tangipahoa Parish

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98
053012	Independence High School						
	Grade Structure	9-12,S	9-12,S	9-12	9-12,NG	9-12,NG	9-12,NG
	October 1 Membership	484	525	549	575	621	634
	Number of Faculty	38	37	38	40	40	40
	Category	~	High	High	High	High	High
053013	Independence Middle School						
	Grade Structure	5-8,S	5-8,S	5-8	5-8,NG	5-8,NG	5-8,NG
	October 1 Membership	337	318	341	352	361	349
	Number of Faculty	20	20	25	28	26	22
	Category	~	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High
053014	Kentwood Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	P,K-6,S	P,K-6,S	P,K-6	K-6,NG	K-6,NG	K-6,NG
	October 1 Membership	523	511	462	455	436	422
	Number of Faculty	34	34	33	33	32	31
	Category	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
053015	Kentwood High School						
	Grade Structure	7-12,S	7-12,S	7-12	7-12,NG	7-12,NG	7-12,NG
	October 1 Membership	370	360	388	389	393	408
	Number of Faculty	29	36	37	37	33	32
	Category	~	High	High	High	High	High
053016	Loranger Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	P,K-4,S	P,K-4,S	P,K-4	K-4,NG	K-4,NG	K-4,NG
	October 1 Membership	593	613	561	557	586	593
	Number of Faculty	35	39	36	37	38	36
	Category	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
053017	Loranger High School						
	Grade Structure	9-12,S	9-12,S	9-12	9-12,NG	9-12,NG	9-12,NG
	October 1 Membership	375	348	402	453	457	474
	Number of Faculty	28	28	27	30	30	30
	Category	~	High	High	High	High	High
053018	Midway Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	P,K-2,S	P,K-2,S	P,K-2	K-2	K-2	K-2,NG
	October 1 Membership	480	533	508	477	504	514
	Number of Faculty	30	35	33	33	34	34
	Category	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary

~ = Unavailable Data S = Special Education P = Pre-kindergarten NG = Nongraded

Table 1
Schools in Tangipahoa Parish

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98
053020	Natalbany Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	3-5,S	3-5,S	3-5	3-5,NG	3-5,NG	3-5,NG
	October 1 Membership	443	453	469	445	481	483
	Number of Faculty	32	30	31	31	30	29
	Category	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
053021	Nesom, Lucille, Middle School						
	Grade Structure	6-8,S	6-8,S	6-8	6-8,NG	6-8,NG	6-8,NG
	October 1 Membership	468	429	432	417	449	457
	Number of Faculty	27	26	28	28	29	29
	Category	~	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High
053022	Ponchatoula Junior High School						
	Grade Structure	7-8,S	7-8,S	7-8	7-8,NG	7-8,NG	7-8,NG
	October 1 Membership	599	624	650	722	725	744
	Number of Faculty	36	36	41	43	42	40
	Category	~	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High
053024	Ponchatoula High School						
	Grade Structure	9-12,S	9-12,S	9-12	9-12,NG	9-12,NG	9-12,NG
	October 1 Membership	1,125	1,182	1,236	1,359	1,410	1,492
	Number of Faculty	67	72	74	75	81	83
	Category	~	High	High	High	High	High
053025	Reeves, D. C. Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	3-4,S	3-4,S	3-4	3-4,NG	3-4,NG	3-4,NG
	October 1 Membership	641	654	610	629	610	549
	Number of Faculty	35	38	36	37	37	33
	Category	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
053026	Roseland Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	P,K-5,S	P,K-6,S	P,K-6	K-6,NG	K-6,NG	K-6,NG
	October 1 Membership	329	370	344	312	295	296
	Number of Faculty	20	24	25	26	21	21
	Category	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
053027	Southeastern LA University Lab School						
	Grade Structure	K-8	K-8	K-8	K-8	K-8	K-8
	October 1 Membership	223	228	228	228	228	229
	Number of Faculty	18	18	18	18	19	12
	Category	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary

~ = Unavailable Data S = Special Education P = Pre-kindergarten NG = Nongraded

Table 1
Schools in Tangipahoa Parish

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98
053028	Spring Creek Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	K-6,S	K-6,S	K-6	K-6,NG	K-6,NG	K-6,NG
	October 1 Membership	341	353	351	355	399	417
	Number of Faculty	25	26	25	23	24	23
	Category	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
053029	Sumner, Jewel M., High School						
	Grade Structure	7-12,S	7-12,S	7-12	7-12,NG	7-12,NG	7-12,NG
	October 1 Membership	483	460	492	543	570	647
	Number of Faculty	35	35	35	35	36	36
	Category	~	High	High	High	High	High
053030	Tucker Memorial Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	1-2,S	1-2,S	1-2	1-2,NG	1-2,NG	1-2,NG
	October 1 Membership	593	606	583	540	594	681
	Number of Faculty	34	37	36	34	35	38
	Category	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
053031	Vinyard, Martha, Elementary School						
	Grade Structure	5-6,S	5-6,S	5-6	5-6,NG	5-6,NG	5-6,NG
	October 1 Membership	577	652	675	691	655	690
	Number of Faculty	36	36	39	39	40	38
	Category	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
053032	West Side Middle School						
	Grade Structure	5-8,S	5-8,S	5-8	5-8,NG	5-8,NG	5-8,NG
	October 1 Membership	757	713	673	662	652	652
	Number of Faculty	45	42	41	41	42	40
	Category	~	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High
053033	Woodland Park Early Learning Center						
	Grade Structure	P,K,S	P,K,S	P,K	K,NG	K,NG	K,NG
	October 1 Membership	402	414	401	301	310	339
	Number of Faculty	27	29	29	29	28	27
	Category	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
053034	Perrin Early Learning Center						
	Grade Structure	P,K,S	P,K,S	P,K	K,NG	K,NG	K,NG
	October 1 Membership	342	296	331	295	293	297
	Number of Faculty	18	20	23	24	23	24
	Category	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary

~ = Unavailable Data S = Special Education P = Pre-kindergarten NG = Nongraded

Table 1
Schools in Tangipahoa Parish

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98
053036	Hammond Westside Primary School						
	Grade Structure	1-3,S	1-3,S	1-3	1-3,NG	1-3,NG	1-3,NG
	October 1 Membership	554	533	485	513	502	522
	Number of Faculty	38	36	34	35	36	34
053037	Hammond Westside Upper Elementary School	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
	Grade Structure	4-6,S	4-6,S	4-6	4-6,NG	4-6,NG	4-6,NG
	October 1 Membership	500	463	490	480	497	468
	Number of Faculty	30	32	33	35	32	30
053038	Hammond Eastside Primary School	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
	Grade Structure	1-3,S	1-3,S	1-3	1-3,NG	1-3	1-3,NG
	October 1 Membership	475	463	419	376	351	399
	Number of Faculty	32	36	37	33	28	25
053039	Hammond Eastside Upper Elementary School	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
	Grade Structure	4-6,S	4-6,S	4-6	4-6,NG	4-6,NG	4-6,NG
	October 1 Membership	421	391	383	403	369	340
	Number of Faculty	29	30	27	30	28	28
053040	Loranger Middle School	~	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary	Elementary
	Grade Structure	5-8,S	5-8,S	5-8	5-8,NG	5-8,NG	5-8,NG
	October 1 Membership	463	509	538	524	535	494
	Number of Faculty	28	29	32	32	33	30
053044	Tangipahoa Parish Magnet High School	~	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High	Middle/Jr. High
	Grade Structure	9-12	9-12	9-12	9-12	9-12	9-12
	October 1 Membership	235	170	177	134	149	191
	Number of Faculty	33	37	37	31	29	5
District		~	High	High	High	High	High
	October 1 Membership	17,541	17,569	17,872	17,866	18,043	18,336
	Number of Faculty	1,056	1,093	1,121	1,134	1,123	1,141

~ = Unavailable Data S = Special Education P = Pre-kindergarten NG = Nongraded

Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher

Perhaps the most vital educational resource available to students is the school faculty. One indicator of faculty preparation is the level of academic training the staff has completed.

Organization

Table 2, Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher, presents the number and percent of faculty attaining a master's degree or higher. Data are presented for all faculty members in all schools in the districts that receive a *School Report Card*. Schools are presented in site code order. District and state totals are presented for comparison purposes.

Data Presentation: *School Report Card*

The *School Report Card* displays the percent of faculty with a master's degree or higher.

Definition

- *Faculty*—school-based instructional personnel. In addition to full-time classroom teachers, these individuals include principals,

assistant principals, guidance counselors, librarians, and other instructional staff (provided these individuals teach at least one class.)

Method of Calculation

The formula used to compute the percentage of faculty who have a master's degree or higher is presented below. Itinerant staff members who are employed at multiple school sites are counted at each school in which they teach, but are counted only once in district and state percentages.

Data Sources

Site-based personnel— district-reported data submitted to the LDE via the Profile of Educational Personnel (PEP).

Faculty degree status— district-reported data submitted to the LDE via the Profile of Educational Personnel (PEP).

Formula Used to Calculate Percent of Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Percent of Faculty} \\ \text{with a Master's Degree} \\ \text{or Higher} \end{array} = \frac{\text{Number of Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher}}{\text{Total Number of Faculty at All Education Levels}} \times 100$$

Table 2
Faculty with a Master's Degree or Higher

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053001	Amite Elementary School	50.00	22	53.19	25	63.27	31	63.83	30	63.04	29	64.29	27
053002	Amite High School	53.85	21	58.97	23	53.66	22	55.00	22	55.56	25	52.17	24
053003	Champ Cooper Elementary School	45.71	16	41.67	15	30.77	12	34.21	13	27.78	10	24.24	8
053004	Chesbrough Elementary School	36.00	9	38.46	10	42.31	11	42.31	11	41.67	10	34.78	8
053009	Hammond High School	62.12	41	55.71	39	55.56	40	49.32	36	47.95	35	47.22	34
053010	Hammond Junior High School	47.37	18	43.90	18	39.02	16	30.77	12	34.21	13	30.00	12
053011	Independence Elementary School	58.62	17	52.94	18	57.14	20	50.00	19	48.57	17	34.29	12
053012	Independence High School	68.42	26	67.57	25	57.89	22	55.00	22	52.50	21	45.00	18
053013	Independence Middle School	45.00	9	50.00	10	40.00	10	42.86	12	30.77	8	31.82	7
053014	Kentwood Elementary School	26.47	9	26.47	9	30.30	10	28.13	9	41.94	13	41.94	13
053015	Kentwood High School	48.28	14	50.00	18	54.05	20	48.65	18	48.48	16	37.50	12
053016	Loranger Elementary School	51.43	18	48.72	19	44.44	16	40.54	15	40.54	15	30.56	11
053017	Loranger High School	60.71	17	64.29	18	59.26	16	53.33	16	50.00	15	46.67	14
053018	Midway Elementary School	26.67	8	22.86	8	24.24	8	30.30	10	29.41	10	23.53	8
053020	Natalbany Elementary School	50.00	16	50.00	15	51.61	16	51.61	16	53.33	16	44.83	13
053021	Nesom, Lucille, Middle School	62.96	17	65.38	17	53.57	15	46.43	13	48.28	14	51.72	15
053022	Ponchatoula Junior High School	55.56	20	58.33	21	58.54	24	53.49	23	57.14	24	45.00	18
053024	Ponchatoula High School	62.69	42	61.43	43	55.56	40	50.68	37	54.43	43	45.78	38
053025	Reeves, D. C. Elementary School	37.14	13	39.47	15	36.11	13	43.24	16	40.54	15	36.36	12
053026	Roseland Elementary School	35.00	7	33.33	8	32.00	8	30.77	8	30.00	6	19.05	4
053027	Southeastern LA University Lab School	83.33	15	83.33	15	77.78	14	77.78	14	84.21	16	100.00	12
053028	Spring Creek Elementary School	52.00	13	48.00	12	52.00	13	56.52	13	62.50	15	43.48	10
053029	Sumner, Jewel M., High School	54.29	19	62.86	22	62.86	22	51.43	18	52.78	19	47.22	17
053030	Tucker Memorial Elementary School	52.94	18	54.05	20	52.78	19	52.94	18	45.71	16	44.74	17
053031	Vinyard, Martha, Elementary School	55.56	20	52.78	19	48.72	19	46.15	18	47.50	19	47.37	18
053032	West Side Middle School	55.56	25	54.76	23	53.66	22	43.90	18	38.10	16	37.50	15
053033	Woodland Park Early Learning Center	29.63	8	31.03	9	37.93	11	37.93	11	42.31	11	33.33	9
053034	Perrin Early Learning Center	38.89	7	25.00	5	43.48	10	37.50	9	39.13	9	29.17	7
053036	Hammond Westside Primary School	31.58	12	33.33	12	38.24	13	37.14	13	36.11	13	32.35	11
053037	Hammond Westside Upper Elementary School	36.67	11	34.38	11	36.36	12	28.57	10	31.25	10	30.00	9
053038	Hammond Eastside Primary School	28.13	9	25.00	9	29.73	11	30.30	10	32.14	9	32.00	8
053039	Hammond Eastside Upper Elementary School	24.14	7	30.00	9	29.63	8	30.00	9	35.71	10	32.14	9
053040	Loranger Middle School	50.00	14	37.93	11	40.63	13	40.63	13	36.36	12	40.00	12
053044	Tangipahoa Parish Magnet High School	69.70	23	75.68	28	64.86	24	70.97	22	75.86	22	80.00	4
District		48.39	511	47.06	513	46.69	522	44.50	502	44.82	498	40.84	466
State		43.62	21,927	43.57	22,111	42.53	21,844	42.20	21,854	41.55	21,556	39.83	20,938

~ = Unavailable Data

Small classes generally allow more time for pupil-teacher interaction and therefore are instrumental in promoting student learning, especially at the lower elementary grades. In recognition of that fact, the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education has set specific limits on the maximum size of classes at various grade levels (*Bulletin 741*). The maximum enrollment in grades K-3 is 26 students, while in grades 4-12 the maximum enrollment is 33 students. The limits do not apply to activity classes such as physical education, chorus, and band.

Organization

Tables 3a, 3b, 3c, and 3d (Class Size Characteristics for Elementary, Middle/Junior High, High, and Combination Schools, respectively) present the number and percentage of classes that fall within various class size ranges. Data are presented for all schools in the district that receive a *School Report Card*, with schools presented by category and in site code order. District and state percentages are presented for comparison of all schools. Since 1993-94, district and state percentages based on school category also have been provided.

The *District Composite Report* presents class size information for grades K-12 in three ranges: 1-20, 21-26, and 27 or more students.

Data Presentation: *School Report Card*

The *1997-98 School Report Card* provides 1997-98 class size information for grades K-12 by three ranges: 1-20, 21-26, and 27+. Category percentages are provided for comparison purposes.

Definition

- *Class*—a grouping of children under the primary supervision and instruction of an individual teacher for all or part of the instructional day, as reported for the purposes of the *Annual School Report* (ASR) and identifiable by a specific ASR course code.

Method of Calculation

The following criterion was applied to *Annual School Report* (ASR) data to determine which classes should be included/excluded from the class size calculations:

- Activity classes (which have a maximum allowable student count greater than 33) are excluded because their inclusion in the computation would skew the results.

Formulas Used to Calculate Percent of Classes in Each of the Specific Class Size Ranges

$$\frac{\text{Percent of Classes in Specific Class Size Range}}{\text{Percent of Classes in Specific Class Size Range}} = \frac{\text{Number of Classes in Specific Class Size Range}}{\text{Total Number of Classes}} \times 100^*$$

*Note: Due to school categorization, the numerator and denominator will vary. For example, Percent of Classes in Elementary Schools in Specific Class Size Range = (Number of Classes in Elementary Schools in Specific Class Size Range / Total Number of Classes in Elementary Schools) X 100.

Data Source

District-reported data from the *Annual School Report* (ASR).

References

Franklin, B.J. and Glascock, C.H. (1994, November). School configuration: Which configuration is best? Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Mid-South Educational Research Association, Nashville, Tenn.

Louisiana Department of Education, *Louisiana Handbook for School Administrators* (Bulletin 741), Baton Rouge, La.

LDE researchers have explored the relationship between school configuration and Report Card indicators related to student participation and testing. Middle school students perform significantly lower in grades 6 and 7 for all indicators than grades 6 and 7 students in elementary or combination (K-12) schools (Franklin and Glascock, 1994).

Table 3a: Class Size Characteristics
Elementary Schools

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053001	Amite Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	43.33	13	25.00	8	45.71	16	12.12	4	16.67	5	20.69	6
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	56.67	17	75.00	24	54.29	19	78.79	26	76.67	23	79.31	23
	Class Size Range 27 or more	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	9.09	3	6.67	2	0.00	0
053003	Champ Cooper Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	7.27	4	14.81	8	15.94	11	12.86	9	29.41	20	24.62	16
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	67.27	37	48.15	26	76.81	53	68.57	48	61.76	42	64.62	42
	Class Size Range 27 or more	25.45	14	37.04	20	7.25	5	18.57	13	8.82	6	10.77	7
053004	Chesbrough Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	35.71	5	43.75	7	56.25	9	59.09	13	16.67	3	6.25	1
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	42.86	6	37.50	6	31.25	5	40.91	9	66.67	12	93.75	15
	Class Size Range 27 or more	21.43	3	18.75	3	12.50	2	0.00	0	16.67	3	0.00	0
053011	Independence Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	5.26	1	37.50	9	57.14	12	59.09	13	5.00	1	47.62	10
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	78.95	15	50.00	12	28.57	6	40.91	9	95.00	19	52.38	11
	Class Size Range 27 or more	15.79	3	12.50	3	14.29	3	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053014	Kentwood Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	36.36	8	34.78	8	42.86	9	21.05	4	42.11	8	71.43	15
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	63.64	14	60.87	14	57.14	12	68.42	13	57.89	11	28.57	6
	Class Size Range 27 or more	0.00	0	4.35	1	0.00	0	10.53	2	0.00	0	0.00	0
053016	Loranger Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	0.00	0	8.00	2	29.17	7	16.67	4	7.69	2	7.41	2
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	100.00	24	72.00	18	50.00	12	70.83	17	92.31	24	77.78	21
	Class Size Range 27 or more	0.00	0	20.00	5	20.83	5	12.50	3	0.00	0	14.81	4
053018	Midway Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	35.00	7	37.50	9	33.33	7	15.00	3	13.64	3	17.86	5
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	65.00	13	62.50	15	66.67	14	85.00	17	86.36	19	82.14	23
	Class Size Range 27 or more	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053020	Natalbany Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	20.00	5	4.35	1	8.33	2	4.00	1	25.00	8	21.21	7
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	56.00	14	69.57	16	66.67	16	96.00	24	43.75	14	57.58	19
	Class Size Range 27 or more	24.00	6	26.09	6	25.00	6	0.00	0	31.25	10	21.21	7
053025	Reeves, D. C. Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	0.00	0	15.63	5	33.33	10	0.00	0	35.29	12	19.35	6
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	44.83	13	68.75	22	56.67	17	100.00	26	44.12	15	77.42	24
	Class Size Range 27 or more	55.17	16	15.63	5	10.00	3	0.00	0	20.59	7	3.23	1

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 3a: Class Size Characteristics
Elementary Schools

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053026	Roseland Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	23.08	3	41.18	7	52.38	11	23.53	4	25.00	3	53.85	7
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	69.23	9	58.82	10	47.62	10	76.47	13	75.00	9	46.15	6
	Class Size Range 27 or more	7.69	1	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053027	Southeastern LA University Lab School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	5.88	1	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	4.55	1
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	64.71	11	35.29	6	28.57	6	37.04	10	41.38	12	27.27	6
	Class Size Range 27 or more	29.41	5	64.71	11	71.43	15	62.96	17	58.62	17	68.18	15
053028	Spring Creek Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	63.83	30	32.61	15	39.13	18	6.67	3	10.87	5	13.64	6
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	23.40	11	41.30	19	8.70	4	64.44	29	63.04	29	31.82	14
	Class Size Range 27 or more	12.77	6	26.09	12	52.17	24	28.89	13	26.09	12	54.55	24
053030	Tucker Memorial Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	0.00	0	10.53	4	11.11	3	34.29	12	19.35	6	19.44	7
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	100.00	26	89.47	34	88.89	24	65.71	23	80.65	25	80.56	29
	Class Size Range 27 or more	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053031	Vinyard, Martha, Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	2.07	3	0.00	0
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	83.64	92	0.00	0	26.17	39	45.57	72	74.48	108	22.30	33
	Class Size Range 27 or more	16.36	18	100.00	122	73.83	110	54.43	86	23.45	34	77.70	115
053033	Woodland Park Early Learning Center												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	100.00	19	100.00	20	100.00	16	93.33	14	0.00	0	7.14	1
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	6.67	1	100.00	13	92.86	13
	Class Size Range 27 or more	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053034	Perrin Early Learning Center												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	0.00	0	46.67	7	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	12.50	2
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	100.00	14	53.33	8	100.00	14	100.00	15	100.00	16	87.50	14
	Class Size Range 27 or more	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053036	Hammond Westside Primary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	19.23	5	7.41	2	40.00	10	19.23	5	25.93	7	25.00	7
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	80.77	21	92.59	25	60.00	15	80.77	21	74.07	20	75.00	21
	Class Size Range 27 or more	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053037	Hammond Westside Upper Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	27.27	6	0.00	0	0.00	0	6.90	2	0.00	0	23.08	9
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	0.00	0	18.75	3	25.93	7	86.21	25	61.90	13	46.15	18
	Class Size Range 27 or more	72.73	16	81.25	13	74.07	20	6.90	2	38.10	8	30.77	12

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 3a: Class Size Characteristics
Elementary Schools

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053038	Hammond Eastside Primary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	42.86	9	66.67	14	80.95	17	33.33	6	42.86	6	31.25	5
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	57.14	12	33.33	7	19.05	4	66.67	12	57.14	8	68.75	11
	Class Size Range 27 or more	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053039	Hammond Eastside Upper Elementary School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	9.09	7	1.52	1	2.27	1	10.47	9	10.00	6	44.44	36
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	81.82	63	95.45	63	50.00	22	89.53	77	90.00	54	55.56	45
	Class Size Range 27 or more	9.09	7	3.03	2	47.73	21	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
District (Elementary Schools)													
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	19.30	127	23.66	159	14.48	106	14.35	98	20.47	149
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	49.85	328	44.49	299	66.53	487	71.16	486	54.12	394
	Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	30.85	203	31.85	214	18.99	139	14.49	99	25.41	185
District (All Schools)													
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	20.61	454	20.09	457	21.74	514	17.08	405	15.07	365	16.73	429
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	38.77	854	38.73	881	36.84	871	43.86	1,040	47.19	1,143	42.96	1,102
	Class Size Range 27 or more	40.63	895	41.19	937	41.41	979	39.06	926	37.74	914	40.31	1,034
State (Elementary Schools)													
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	29.86	9,170	30.32	9,287	32.15	9,840	31.58	9,687	34.34	11,090
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	51.31	15,758	50.85	15,577	50.68	15,510	53.06	16,277	51.25	16,553
	Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	18.83	5,783	18.83	5,768	17.17	5,255	15.37	4,714	14.41	4,654
State (All Schools)													
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	30.00	33,335	30.42	34,199	31.18	35,133	32.25	36,358	32.51	37,192	34.44	40,803
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	40.59	45,104	39.16	44,023	39.79	44,829	39.63	44,678	40.20	45,996	39.38	46,654
	Class Size Range 27 or more	29.42	32,693	30.41	34,185	29.03	32,715	28.12	31,698	27.29	31,226	26.17	31,003

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 3b: Class Size Characteristics
Middle/Jr. High Schools

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053010	Hammond Junior High School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	15.24	16	14.75	18	19.40	26	12.80	16	10.83	13	29.37	37
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	28.57	30	43.44	53	50.75	68	48.00	60	55.00	66	50.00	63
	Class Size Range 27 or more	56.19	59	41.80	51	29.85	40	39.20	49	34.17	41	20.63	26
053013	Independence Middle School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	0.00	0	3.45	2	4.35	3	14.63	6	2.50	1	5.08	3
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	21.05	12	62.07	36	59.42	41	48.78	20	20.00	8	35.59	21
	Class Size Range 27 or more	78.95	45	34.48	20	36.23	25	36.59	15	77.50	31	59.32	35
053021	Nesom, Lucille, Middle School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	0.00	0	1.10	1	11.36	10	33.00	33	18.18	18	19.05	20
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	20.83	20	56.04	51	37.50	33	39.00	39	30.30	30	53.33	56
	Class Size Range 27 or more	79.17	76	42.86	39	51.14	45	28.00	28	51.52	51	27.62	29
053022	Ponchatoula Junior High School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	7.69	8	0.00	0	9.35	10	3.45	4	3.95	6	2.07	3
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	17.31	18	22.02	24	17.76	19	11.21	13	30.92	47	34.48	50
	Class Size Range 27 or more	75.00	78	77.98	85	72.90	78	85.34	99	65.13	99	63.45	92
053032	West Side Middle School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	3.88	5	4.07	5	0.00	0	0.00	0	1.80	2	0.78	1
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	7.75	10	20.33	25	29.82	34	18.26	21	36.94	41	31.25	40
	Class Size Range 27 or more	88.37	114	75.61	93	70.18	80	81.74	94	61.26	68	67.97	87
053040	Loranger Middle School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.95	1	0.86	1	1.72	2	2.70	3
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	36.25	29	7.06	6	18.10	19	58.62	68	31.90	37	46.85	52
	Class Size Range 27 or more	63.75	51	92.94	79	80.95	85	40.52	47	66.38	77	50.45	56

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 3b: Class Size Characteristics
Middle/Jr. High Schools

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
District (Middle/Jr. High Schools)													
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	4.42	26	8.10	50	9.79	60	6.58	42	9.94	67
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	33.16	195	34.68	214	36.05	221	35.89	229	41.84	282
	Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	62.41	367	57.21	353	54.16	332	57.52	367	48.22	325
District (All Schools)													
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	20.61	454	20.09	457	21.74	514	17.08	405	15.07	365	16.73	429
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	38.77	854	38.73	881	36.84	871	43.86	1,040	47.19	1,143	42.96	1,102
	Class Size Range 27 or more	40.63	895	41.19	937	41.41	979	39.06	926	37.74	914	40.31	1,034
State (Middle/Jr. High Schools)													
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	21.06	6,253	23.16	6,785	23.22	6,682	24.50	7,050	27.45	8,168
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	38.07	11,300	39.15	11,471	40.39	11,625	40.85	11,756	39.66	11,802
	Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	40.87	12,133	37.69	11,045	36.40	10,476	34.66	9,975	32.90	9,791
State (All Schools)													
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	30.00	33,335	30.42	34,199	31.18	35,133	32.25	36,358	32.51	37,192	34.44	40,803
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	40.59	45,104	39.16	44,023	39.79	44,829	39.63	44,678	40.20	45,996	39.38	46,654
	Class Size Range 27 or more	29.42	32,693	30.41	34,185	29.03	32,715	28.12	31,698	27.29	31,226	26.17	31,003

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 3c: Class Size Characteristics
High Schools

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053002	Amite High School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	27.97	33	27.19	31	20.69	24	9.91	11	13.29	21	19.41	33
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	27.12	32	31.58	36	26.72	31	40.54	45	37.97	60	40.59	69
	Class Size Range 27 or more	44.92	53	41.23	47	52.59	61	49.55	55	48.73	77	40.00	68
053009	Hammond High School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	18.22	39	14.60	33	22.86	56	7.79	18	8.44	20	10.04	24
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	31.78	68	30.09	68	40.00	98	38.96	90	52.74	125	31.80	76
	Class Size Range 27 or more	50.00	107	55.31	125	37.14	91	53.25	123	38.82	92	58.16	139
053012	Independence High School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	38.32	41	20.19	21	21.50	23	22.94	25	15.89	17	16.52	19
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	37.38	40	41.35	43	37.38	40	33.94	37	30.84	33	40.00	46
	Class Size Range 27 or more	24.30	26	38.46	40	41.12	44	43.12	47	53.27	57	43.48	50
053015	Kentwood High School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	43.18	38	49.45	45	43.68	38	57.14	52	59.14	55	36.96	34
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	34.09	30	46.15	42	55.17	48	38.46	35	38.71	36	60.87	56
	Class Size Range 27 or more	22.73	20	4.40	4	1.15	1	4.40	4	2.15	2	2.17	2
053017	Loranger High School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	40.70	35	29.27	24	19.28	16	20.00	17	20.69	18	20.88	19
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	31.40	27	28.05	23	32.53	27	24.71	21	27.59	24	25.27	23
	Class Size Range 27 or more	27.91	24	42.68	35	48.19	40	55.29	47	51.72	45	53.85	49
053024	Ponchatoula High School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	12.02	28	18.43	47	17.74	47	13.22	32	10.11	27	8.81	26
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	42.06	98	49.02	125	29.06	77	37.19	90	41.57	111	38.98	115
	Class Size Range 27 or more	45.92	107	32.55	83	53.21	141	49.59	120	48.31	129	52.20	154
053029	Sumner, Jewel M., High School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	40.18	45	48.57	51	31.07	32	30.48	32	23.42	26	18.90	24
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	24.11	27	20.00	21	35.92	37	13.33	14	35.14	39	32.28	41
	Class Size Range 27 or more	35.71	40	31.43	33	33.01	34	56.19	59	41.44	46	48.82	62
053044	Tangipahoa Parish Magnet High School												
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	97.73	43	100.00	52	100.00	57	100.00	44	100.00	41	100.00	34
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	2.27	1	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Class Size Range 27 or more	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 3c: Class Size Characteristics
High Schools

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
District (High Schools)													
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	29.54	304	28.37	305	22.69	231	20.44	225	18.31	213
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	34.79	358	33.30	358	32.61	332	38.87	428	36.63	426
	Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	35.67	367	38.33	412	44.70	455	40.69	448	45.06	524
District (All Schools)													
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	20.61	454	20.09	457	21.74	514	17.08	405	15.07	365	16.73	429
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	38.77	854	38.73	881	36.84	871	43.86	1,040	47.19	1,143	42.96	1,102
	Class Size Range 27 or more	40.63	895	41.19	937	41.41	979	39.06	926	37.74	914	40.31	1,034
State (High Schools)													
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	~	~	32.15	14,261	32.55	14,610	33.61	15,285	33.77	15,900	34.96	17,104
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	~	~	33.40	14,813	34.49	15,478	33.61	15,285	33.15	15,607	32.95	16,121
	Class Size Range 27 or more	~	~	34.45	15,277	32.96	14,792	32.79	14,911	33.08	15,576	32.10	15,704
State (All Schools)													
	Class Size Range 1 - 20	30.00	33,335	30.42	34,199	31.18	35,133	32.25	36,358	32.51	37,192	34.44	40,803
	Class Size Range 21 - 26	40.59	45,104	39.16	44,023	39.79	44,829	39.63	44,678	40.20	45,996	39.38	46,654
	Class Size Range 27 or more	29.42	32,693	30.41	34,185	29.03	32,715	28.12	31,698	27.29	31,226	26.17	31,003

~ = Unavailable Data

Part 3. Student Participation

Student Attendance	3-1
Student Dropouts	3-7
Students Suspended and Expelled	3-13

More than a decade ago, American schools were challenged by *A Nation at Risk* to do whatever necessary to reduce the amount of instructional time lost to absenteeism (Bennett, 1988). As educators have long recognized, occasional absences cause some learning disruption, but frequent student absences can severely reduce academic progress (Bamber, 1979).

The percent of student attendance reflects the percentage of time the average student is present within the total number of instructional days. Since 1993-94, attendance has been calculated to the nearest half day.

Prior to 1995-96, attendance data were reported for regular education students only. The 1995-96, 1996-97, and 1997-98 data include special education students as well; hence, comparisons with prior years data are strongly discouraged.

Organization

Tables 4a, 4b, 4c, and 4d, Student Attendance, present the percent of student attendance for each school in the district receiving a *School Report Card*. District and state percentages are presented for comparison of all schools. Schools are presented by category and in site code order. Since 1993-94, district and state percentages based on school category have been provided for comparison purposes.

Data Presentation: *School Report Card*

The 1997-98 *School Report Card* presents the percent of student attendance for the school, district, and state, based on the school category.

Of all the School Report Card indicators studied, student attendance yields the strongest positive relationship with average test scores. This is especially evident in secondary schools with higher attendance. These schools show a marked increase in the percentage of students passing the Graduation Exit Exam (Franklin and Crone, 1993).

Definitions

- *Aggregate days attendance*—the total number of days that students are *present* at the school site over the course of the school year.
- *Aggregate days membership*—the total number of days that students are *enrolled* (but not necessarily *present* at the school site) over the course of the school year.
- *Day of attendance*—effective with the 1992-93 school year, “a student is considered to be in attendance when he or she 1) is physically present at a school site or is participating in an authorized school activity and 2) is under the supervision of authorized personnel. This definition extends to students who are homebound, assigned to and participating in drug rehabilitation programs that contain a state-approved education component, or participating in school-authorized field trips.”

“Students who meet the above criteria and are present at the school site for 26-50 percent of the student’s instructional day shall be credited with a half day of attendance. Those who meet the above criteria and are present for at least 51% of the student’s instructional day are credited with a whole day of attendance. Students who are not physically present or who are participating for 25 percent or less of their instructional day will be considered absent for reporting purposes. Absences, whether excused or unexcused, shall be counted as an absence for reporting to the Department.” (*Bulletin 741*)

As mentioned previously, the above definition was piloted for the 1992-93 school year and has been in effect statewide since the 1993-94 school year.

- *Percent of student attendance*—the ratio of aggregate days student attendance to aggregate days membership.

Method of Calculation

The formulas used in calculating percent of student attendance are presented on the following page.

Data Sources

The attendance indicator is based on district-reported data submitted to the LDE via the Student Information System (SIS).

References

- Bamber, C. (1979). Student and teacher absenteeism. *Phi Delta Kappa Fastback*. 126, 12.
- Bennett, W. J. (1988). *American Education - Making It Work*. 17. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Franklin, B. J. and Crone, L. J. (1993). *Louisiana Progress Profiles*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Atlanta, Ga.
- Louisiana Department of Education. *Handbook for Louisiana School Administrators (Bulletin 741)*. Baton Rouge, La.: Author.
-

Formulas Used to Calculate Percent of Student Attendance

School-level Aggregation

$$\text{Percent of Student Attendance} = \frac{\text{Aggregate Days of Attendance}}{\text{Aggregate Days of Membership}} \times 100$$

District-level Aggregation

$$\text{Percent of Student Attendance} = \frac{\text{Total Aggregate Days of Attendance for All Schools in the District}}{\text{Total Aggregate Days of Membership for All Schools in the District}} \times 100^*$$

State-level Aggregation

$$\text{Percent of Student Attendance} = \frac{\text{Total Aggregate Days of Attendance for All Schools in the State}}{\text{Total Aggregate Days of Membership for All Schools in the State}} \times 100^*$$

*Note: Due to school categorization, the numerator and denominator will vary. For example, Percent of Student Attendance in Elementary Schools = (Aggregate Days of Attendance for All Elementary Schools / Aggregate Days of Membership for All Elementary Schools) X 100.

Table 4a: Percent of Student Attendance
Elementary Schools

	1992-93¹	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96²	1996-97	1997-98
053001 Amite Elementary School	94.42	94.54	94.56	94.66	94.89	95.48
053003 Champ Cooper Elementary School	93.95	94.29	94.21	93.62	93.49	93.25
053004 Chesbrough Elementary School	94.82	94.86	94.74	93.80	94.95	95.35
053011 Independence Elementary School	92.98	93.94	94.01	93.67	99.12	94.88
053014 Kentwood Elementary School	93.45	93.64	93.48	93.74	93.86	95.02
053016 Loranger Elementary School	93.69	94.53	94.06	94.07	93.98	93.78
053018 Midway Elementary School	92.69	92.74	94.08	92.00	94.39	94.67
053020 Natalbany Elementary School	93.86	94.52	93.92	93.70	94.25	94.80
053025 Reeves, D. C. Elementary School	94.01	95.12	95.04	93.77	95.52	95.69
053026 Roseland Elementary School	94.41	94.59	94.61	95.43	96.67	95.77
053027 Southeastern LA University Lab School	96.93	97.06	97.03	96.67	97.04	97.21
053028 Spring Creek Elementary School	95.38	94.42	95.25	95.36	95.16	95.72
053030 Tucker Memorial Elementary School	95.06	95.20	94.62	93.86	94.93	94.78
053031 Vinyard, Martha, Elementary School	94.20	77.37	94.04	95.04	95.49	95.29
053033 Woodland Park Early Learning Center	91.49	91.34	95.56	92.79	96.49	93.98
053034 Perrin Early Learning Center	90.78	92.06	91.91	91.60	93.49	93.07
053036 Hammond Westside Primary School	93.81	94.43	95.26	93.81	94.58	94.54
053037 Hammond Westside Upper Elementary School	91.79	92.14	94.24	97.55	94.53	94.61
053038 Hammond Eastside Primary School	94.08	94.15	94.88	93.42	93.65	94.84
053039 Hammond Eastside Upper Elementary School	93.47	84.71	93.11	93.65	93.89	95.25
District (Elementary Schools)	~	92.56	94.39	94.11	94.94	94.85
District (All Schools)	92.26	91.93	93.04	93.10	93.60	93.44
State (Elementary Schools)	~	95.01	95.21	95.01	95.20	95.01
State (All Schools)	~	93.55	93.64	93.36	93.70	93.40

¹ A standard attendance definition was piloted statewide in 1992-93 and implemented statewide in 1993-94; hence prior years' data may not be comparable.

² Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 4b: Percent of Student Attendance
Middle/Jr. High Schools

	1992-93¹	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96²	1996-97	1997-98
053010 Hammond Junior High School	85.96	88.41	91.47	94.11	93.78	96.22
053013 Independence Middle School	92.00	91.99	94.58	99.05	94.20	94.18
053021 Nesom, Lucille, Middle School	90.30	93.01	91.13	91.54	91.25	91.99
053022 Ponchatoula Junior High School	92.96	91.69	92.39	92.76	93.26	92.39
053032 West Side Middle School	91.60	92.27	92.62	92.48	93.25	92.84
053040 Loranger Middle School	93.91	94.81	94.22	94.82	94.02	94.55
District (Middle/Jr. High Schools)	~	92.06	92.66	93.78	93.30	93.60
District (All Schools)	92.26	91.93	93.04	93.10	93.60	93.44
State (Middle/Jr. High Schools)	~	92.84	92.72	92.56	93.14	92.69
State (All Schools)	~	93.55	93.64	93.36	93.70	93.40

¹ A standard attendance definition was piloted statewide in 1992-93 and implemented statewide in 1993-94; hence prior years' data may not be comparable.

² Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 4c: Percent of Student Attendance
High Schools

	1992-93¹	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96²	1996-97	1997-98
053002 Amite High School	91.38	91.50	92.92	90.76	91.46	91.74
053009 Hammond High School	90.73	90.95	91.65	91.95	92.08	92.03
053012 Independence High School	89.03	90.36	86.25	87.65	88.01	86.94
053015 Kentwood High School	91.08	98.89	92.13	87.32	90.94	85.55
053017 Loranger High School	89.94	90.52	90.81	89.63	89.40	91.16
053024 Ponchatoula High School	91.16	91.96	91.33	91.37	91.86	91.52
053029 Sumner, Jewel M., High School	91.83	92.44	91.86	91.49	92.21	91.80
053044 Tangipahoa Parish Magnet High School	78.36	60.14	80.51	100.00	100.00	100.00
District (High Schools)	~	90.68	90.71	90.87	91.49	91.08
District (All Schools)	92.26	91.93	93.04	93.10	93.60	93.44
State (High Schools)	~	90.97	91.02	90.62	91.06	90.75
State (All Schools)	~	93.55	93.64	93.36	93.70	93.40

¹ A standard attendance definition was piloted statewide in 1992-93 and implemented statewide in 1993-94; hence prior years' data may not be comparable.

² Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Students who drop out of school deprive our country of potentially valuable human resources (Hershaff, 1980). Research indicates that dropping out of school has negative consequences both for the individual who drops out and for society (Curry, Payson, and Sandhu, 1990).

Over the last 20 years, there has been a general increase in high school completion rates. Despite these gains, dropout rates remain at unacceptably high levels. The monitoring of high school dropout rates provides one measure of our progress in increasing the educational attainment of the state's youth. Unfortunately, determining the exact number of students who actually drop out of school is extremely difficult.

According to LDE research, the percent of student dropouts has a strong negative correlation with test scores and attendance, and a positive correlation with school size. Thus, schools with low average test scores and low average attendance generally experience high dropout rates. Larger schools (those with enrollments of roughly 700 or more students) exhibit higher dropout rates than do smaller schools (Franklin and Crone, 1993).

Prior to 1995-96, attendance data were reported for regular education students only. The 1995-96, 1996-97, and 1997-98 data include special education students as well; hence, comparisons with prior years data are strongly discouraged.

Organization

Table 5, Student Dropouts, presents the number and percent of students (by grade level) who drop out of school for grades 7-12. Data are presented by school site code for all *Report Card* schools in the district whose grade structure includes grade seven or higher. District and state numbers and percents are offered for comparison purposes.

Data Presentation: *School Report Card*

School-level counts and percents are reported (by grade) for grades 7-12. Also, district and state percents are presented.

Definitions

- *Cumulative Enrollment*—the sum of all students enrolled in a school or district for at least one school day during the course of the school year, used as the denominator for calculating school- and district-level suspension and expulsion percents.
- 1. *Dropout*—the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) defines a dropout in the following manner. A school dropout is an individual who was enrolled in school at some time during the previous year, was not enrolled at the beginning of the current school year, had not graduated from high school or completed an approved educational program, and did not meet any of the following exclusionary conditions:
 - Death;
 - Temporary absence due to suspension or illness; or
 - Transfer to another public school district, private school, or state- or district-approved education program.

For the purpose of this definition:

- A school year is the 12-month period of time beginning with the normal opening of school in the fall, with dropouts from the previous summer reported for the year and grade for which they fail to enroll;
- An individual has graduated from high school or completed an approved education program upon receipt of formal recognition from school authorities; and
- A state- or district-approved education program may include special education programs, home-based instruction, and school-sponsored GED preparation.

Method of Calculation

Louisiana's school- and district-level student dropout percents are calculated by dividing the total number of student dropouts in each grade for grades 7-12 by the cumulative enrollment for that grade. The formulas used to produce percent of student dropouts are presented at the bottom of this page.

Data Sources

The dropout indicator is based on district-reported data submitted to the LDE via the Student Information System (SIS).

References

- Curry, B. A., Payson, James and Sandhu, Daya S. (1990). Efficacy of a university designed dropout prevention program for at-risk adolescents of Louisiana. *Louisiana Education Research Journal*. XVI:1, 52.
- Franklin, B. J. and Crone, L. J. (1993, April). *Louisiana Progress Profiles*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Atlanta, Ga.
- Hershaff, S. M. (1980). Dropouts: A comparison of their general feelings of alienation and attitudes toward school with those of persisters. *The Southern Journal of Educational Research*. XIV:4, 247.
- National Center for Education Statistics (1993). *Dropout rates in the United States: 1993*. U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Research and Improvement. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC.

Formulas Used to Calculate Percent of Student Dropouts (Grades 7-12)

School-level Aggregation

$$\text{Percent of Student Dropouts (By Grade Level)} = \frac{\text{Number of Student Dropouts (By Grade Level)}}{\text{Cumulative Enrollment (By Grade Level)}} \times 100$$

District-level Aggregation

$$\text{Percent of Student Dropouts (By Grade Level)} = \frac{\text{Total Number of Student Dropouts (By Grade Level) For All Schools in the District}}{\text{Cumulative Enrollment (By Grade Level) For All Schools in the District}} \times 100$$

State-level Aggregation

$$\text{Percent of Student Dropouts (By Grade Level)} = \frac{\text{Total Number of Student Dropouts (By Grade Level) For All Schools in the State}}{\text{Cumulative Enrollment (By Grade Level) For All Schools in the State}} \times 100$$

Table 5: Student Dropouts

		1992-93 ¹		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96 ²		1996-97		1997-98	
		Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
053002	Amite High School												
	Grade 9	1.35	3	6.61	15	4.42	13	10.85	28	10.33	28	10.30	24
	Grade 10	0.51	1	4.02	7	1.64	3	10.26	20	9.09	17	7.14	15
	Grade 11	1.33	2	4.94	8	0.62	1	8.05	12	6.67	11	5.92	9
	Grade 12	0.00	0	1.65	2	0.75	1	10.09	11	6.42	7	2.22	3
053003	Champ Cooper Elementary School												
	Grade 7	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	1.06	1	0.00	0
	Grade 8	0.00	0	0.00	0	1.28	1	3.57	3	3.66	3	0.00	0
053009	Hammond High School												
	Grade 9	0.33	2	4.01	24	7.17	39	12.76	68	8.89	53	4.18	17
	Grade 10	0.66	2	1.95	7	2.25	8	18.87	87	9.37	31	7.24	28
	Grade 11	0.39	1	2.65	7	1.00	3	17.51	62	5.28	15	3.68	10
	Grade 12	0.51	1	1.11	2	1.52	3	18.99	45	4.47	8	2.71	6
053010	Hammond Junior High School												
	Grade 7	0.00	0	0.32	1	1.18	4	4.20	14	1.33	4	0.00	0
	Grade 8	0.00	0	2.29	7	1.97	6	7.52	24	2.38	7	0.00	0
053012	Independence High School												
	Grade 9	0.49	1	0.70	2	6.03	17	16.52	38	7.96	23	4.17	9
	Grade 10	0.67	1	1.19	2	4.25	9	25.81	56	10.91	18	6.63	13
	Grade 11	0.00	0	0.78	1	1.37	2	20.11	36	8.00	10	5.74	7
	Grade 12	0.00	0	1.77	2	3.16	3	28.10	34	8.33	9	2.02	2
053013	Independence Middle School												
	Grade 7	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	1.06	1	1.02	1	0.00	0
	Grade 8	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	7.55	8	0.00	0	0.00	0
053015	Kentwood High School												
	Grade 7	0.00	0	1.33	1	3.70	3	6.85	5	4.94	4	1.28	1
	Grade 8	0.00	0	2.99	2	2.70	2	2.41	2	4.62	3	5.33	4
	Grade 9	4.76	4	6.74	6	0.00	0	14.81	12	15.38	16	10.98	9
	Grade 10	1.79	1	2.00	1	5.08	3	15.07	11	8.11	6	10.61	7
	Grade 11	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	11.11	7	7.32	3	8.00	4
	Grade 12	0.00	0	0.00	0	2.38	1	11.63	5	6.67	2	4.76	2

¹ In 1992-93, Louisiana was in transition to the federal reporting calendar; hence, prior years' data may not be comparable.

² Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 5: Student Dropouts

		1992-93 ¹		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96 ²		1996-97		1997-98	
		Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
053017	Loranger High School												
	Grade 9	0.62	1	1.55	2	2.84	4	8.92	14	12.81	26	4.00	7
	Grade 10	0.00	0	0.85	1	5.51	7	18.95	29	4.48	6	5.26	8
	Grade 11	1.04	1	5.26	5	5.66	6	13.39	17	8.33	8	3.85	4
	Grade 12	0.00	0	0.00	0	2.63	2	16.67	20	10.34	9	6.41	5
053021	Nesom, Lucille, Middle School												
	Grade 7	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.73	1	7.41	14	0.60	1	0.00	0
	Grade 8	0.00	0	0.67	1	0.65	1	8.13	13	1.60	3	0.57	1
053022	Ponchatoula Junior High School												
	Grade 7	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	4.72	21	1.30	5	0.00	0
	Grade 8	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	8.79	40	0.53	2	0.79	3
053024	Ponchatoula High School												
	Grade 9	0.00	0	0.43	2	5.79	31	14.38	66	6.61	41	5.26	28
	Grade 10	0.00	0	0.00	0	2.29	8	18.15	94	7.48	24	6.37	26
	Grade 11	0.38	1	0.31	1	0.55	2	15.36	55	4.05	14	4.76	15
	Grade 12	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	15.00	48	0.89	2	2.94	8
053027	Southeastern LA University Lab School												
	Grade 7	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Grade 8	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	3.45	1	0.00	0
053029	Sumner, Jewel M., High School												
	Grade 7	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	2.90	4	0.79	1	0.00	0
	Grade 8	0.00	0	0.00	0	1.00	1	5.00	6	0.83	1	0.00	0
	Grade 9	1.79	2	2.65	3	6.14	7	12.28	14	4.03	6	6.82	9
	Grade 10	0.00	0	1.30	1	7.53	7	16.98	18	5.68	5	7.89	9
	Grade 11	0.00	0	0.00	0	1.47	1	15.63	15	4.94	4	5.41	4
	Grade 12	0.00	0	2.94	2	2.86	2	22.73	15	7.35	5	8.45	6
053032	West Side Middle School												
	Grade 7	0.00	0	0.00	0	1.40	3	3.62	8	1.49	3	0.52	1
	Grade 8	0.00	0	0.00	0	3.13	7	4.50	10	1.97	4	1.04	2
053040	Loranger Middle School												
	Grade 7	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	1.28	2	0.60	1	0.00	0
	Grade 8	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	7.27	12	2.68	4	0.00	0

¹ In 1992-93, Louisiana was in transition to the federal reporting calendar; hence, prior years' data may not be comparable.

² Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 5: Student Dropouts

		1992-93¹		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96²		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053044	Tangipahoa Parish Magnet High School												
	Grade 9	9.14	17	34.65	44	12.00	15	32.28	51	47.66	102	23.30	41
	Grade 10	2.97	3	19.15	18	14.89	14	30.43	21	45.74	43	23.91	22
	Grade 11	5.56	4	16.00	12	12.96	7	34.62	18	28.57	12	22.22	10
	Grade 12	1.47	1	8.33	4	9.38	6	34.09	15	20.00	7	2.56	1
District													
	Grade 7	0.00	0	0.14	2	0.73	11	4.47	76	1.34	21	0.13	2
	Grade 8	0.00	0	0.71	10	1.28	18	9.92	176	1.85	28	0.67	10
	Grade 9	1.67	30	5.65	98	7.05	126	16.52	311	13.29	295	7.72	144
	Grade 10	0.61	8	2.84	37	4.44	59	19.58	346	11.36	150	8.15	128
	Grade 11	0.89	9	3.17	34	1.93	22	16.79	225	6.70	77	5.67	63
	Grade 12	0.25	2	1.56	12	2.08	18	18.71	195	5.98	49	3.50	33
	Grades 9 - 12	~	~	~	~	~	~	17.86	1,077	10.36	571	6.70	368
State													
	Grade 7	~	~	1.47	904	0.78	480	4.46	2,816	4.73	2,904	3.44	2,122
	Grade 8	~	~	1.71	971	0.93	538	6.04	3,568	5.53	3,232	4.46	2,519
	Grade 9	~	~	6.48	4,018	4.63	2,898	12.90	8,966	13.51	9,245	11.67	7,688
	Grade 10	~	~	4.91	2,531	3.79	2,005	11.86	6,554	12.10	6,626	10.53	5,802
	Grade 11	~	~	4.57	1,988	3.55	1,555	10.78	4,991	10.58	4,897	9.08	4,161
	Grade 12	~	~	3.70	1,433	2.65	1,061	10.75	4,397	8.62	3,605	8.71	3,716
	Grades 9 - 12	~	~	~	~	~	~	11.75	24,908	11.53	24,373	10.20	21,367

¹ In 1992-93, Louisiana was in transition to the federal reporting calendar; hence, prior years' data may not be comparable.

² Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Students Suspended and Expelled

Student suspension not only harms students by depriving them of valuable instruction, it also harms communities, the individual school, and school district (Garibaldi, 1978).

Prior to 1995-96, attendance data were reported for regular education students only. The 1995-96, 1996-97, and 1997-98 data include special education students as well; hence, comparisons with prior years data are strongly discouraged.

Organization

Tables 6a, 6b, 6c, and 6d, Students Suspended and Expelled, present the number and percent of students suspended and the number and percent of students expelled for each school in the district receiving a *School Report Card*. Schools are listed by category and in site code order. District percentages are presented for comparison of all schools. Since 1993-94, percentages based on the school category also have been provided for comparison purposes.

It should be pointed out that the “students suspended” number reflects the number of students at the school site who were suspended at least once during the school year. Because some students are suspended more than once over the course of the school year, the total incidence of suspension may be greater than the number reported here.

Data Presentation: *School Report Card*

The 1997-98 *School Report Card* presents the school-level number and percent of students suspended and expelled. Category statistics are provided at the district level for comparison purposes.

Definitions

- *Cumulative Enrollment*—the sum of all students enrolled in a school or district for at least one school day during the course of the school year, used as the denominator for calculating school- and district-level suspension and expulsion percents.
- *In-school Expulsion*—student is temporarily removed from his/her usual classroom placement to an alternative setting for a period of time specified by the LEA and no interruption of instructional services occurs.
- *In-school Suspension*—student is temporarily removed from his/her usual classroom placement to an alternative setting for a minimum of one complete school day and no interruption of instructional services occurs.
- *Out-of-school Expulsion*—removal (exit) of a student from school for a determined number of days with no provision of instructional services.
- *Out-of-school Suspension*—student is temporarily prohibited from participating in his/her usual placement within school with no provision of instructional service; only suspensions resulting in removal for at least one full day are included.

Schools which report comparatively high suspension rates tend to serve more low-income students than those which report low suspension rates. Suspension rates tend to be higher among large schools. Middle schools and secondary schools report higher suspension rates than schools with other grade configurations. Finally, class enrollments are larger in high-suspension schools (Kennedy, 1993). This research is further supported by Franklin and Glascock (1994) who found that suspension rates are significantly higher in middle schools than elementary or combination (K-12) schools.

Method of Calculation

Suspensions and expulsions are calculated for students enrolled in grades K-12. The formulas listed at the bottom of this page were used to calculate the desired school- and district-level percentages for each school category, as well as district-level percentages for all schools.

Data Sources

The suspension and expulsion indicators are based on district-reported data submitted to the LDE via the Student Information System (SIS).

References

- Children's Defense Fund. (1975). *School Suspensions - Are They Helping Children?* Cambridge, Mass.
- Franklin, B. J., and Glascock, C. H. (1994). The K-12 school - Did we forget the importance of continuity? Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Mid-South Education Research Association. Nashville, Tenn.
- Garibaldi, A. M. (1978). *In-School Alternatives to Suspension: Conference Report*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Kennedy, E. (1993). A study of out-of-school suspensions and expulsions in Louisiana public schools. Report to the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education. Baton Rouge, La.: Louisiana Department of Education.

Formulas Used to Calculate Percent of Students Suspended, Expelled

School-level Aggregation

$$\text{Percent of Students Suspended} = \frac{\text{Number of Students Suspended}}{\text{Cumulative Enrollment}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Percent of Students Expelled} = \frac{\text{Number of Students Expelled}}{\text{Cumulative Enrollment}} \times 100$$

District-level Aggregation

$$\text{Percent of Students Suspended} = \frac{\text{Total Number of Students Suspended for All Schools in the District}}{\text{Cumulative Enrollment for All Schools in the District}} \times 100^*$$

$$\text{Percent of Students Expelled} = \frac{\text{Total Number of Students Expelled for All Schools in the District}}{\text{Cumulative Enrollment for All Schools in the District}} \times 100^*$$

*Note: Due to school categorization, the numerator and denominator will vary. For example, Percent of Elementary Students Suspended = (Number of Elementary Students Suspended / Cumulative Elementary Student Enrollment) X 100.

Table 6a: Students Suspended and Expelled
Elementary Schools

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96²		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053001	Amite Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	~	~
	Suspended (Out of School)	0.98	9	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.34	3	0.13	1	~	~
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	~	~
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	~	~
053003	Champ Cooper Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	4.55	34	0.00	0
	Suspended (Out of School)	13.72	90	13.37	92	15.61	113	10.00	78	11.50	86	5.38	33
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.26	2	0.53	4	0.33	2
053004	Chesbrough Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Suspended (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	3.00	11	0.00	0	3.48	15	4.79	19
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053011	Independence Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Suspended (Out of School)	9.71	53	10.03	59	5.41	30	5.25	31	3.61	21	3.29	16
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053014	Kentwood Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.19	1	11.35	52
	Suspended (Out of School)	1.76	10	4.86	28	2.75	14	3.81	22	6.97	37	13.76	63
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053016	Loranger Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	3.68	25	1.23	8
	Suspended (Out of School)	3.35	21	1.96	13	8.82	56	0.55	4	1.76	12	0.92	6
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0

¹ Because of reporting differences among districts, no state suspension or expulsion averages are shown prior to 1996-97.

² Effective with 1995-96 both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 6a: Students Suspended and Expelled
Elementary Schools

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96²		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053018	Midway Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Suspended (Out of School)	0.56	3	1.52	9	0.87	5	0.46	3	1.27	8	0.50	3
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053020	Natalbany Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	20.80	115
	Suspended (Out of School)	9.57	56	12.23	68	18.38	102	9.20	55	8.92	52	13.20	73
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.18	1
053025	Reeves, D. C. Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	9.14	65	5.10	31
	Suspended (Out of School)	12.26	89	10.07	73	3.00	20	1.84	14	3.66	26	2.63	16
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053026	Roseland Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	1.24	4
	Suspended (Out of School)	2.86	10	8.40	33	8.12	32	0.23	1	17.50	63	13.35	43
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053027	Southeastern LA University Lab School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	5.56	13
	Suspended (Out of School)	1.63	4	0.43	1	0.41	1	0.00	0	0.79	2	0.00	0
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053028	Spring Creek Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.22	1
	Suspended (Out of School)	1.52	6	5.45	22	2.77	11	1.10	5	7.43	35	1.10	5
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0

¹ Because of reporting differences among districts, no state suspension or expulsion averages are shown prior to 1996-97.

² Effective with 1995-96 both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 6a: Students Suspended and Expelled
Elementary Schools

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96²		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053030	Tucker Memorial Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	~	~
	Suspended (Out of School)	3.45	24	1.74	12	3.80	25	5.28	34	1.15	8	~	~
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	~	~
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	~	~
053031	Vinyard, Martha, Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	12.86	95	20.79	152
	Suspended (Out of School)	13.85	82	14.29	97	17.84	137	18.66	156	5.14	38	7.80	57
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.39	3	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053033	Woodland Park Early Learning Center												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Suspended (Out of School)	0.27	1	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.40	2	2.35	9	0.58	2
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053034	Perrin Early Learning Center												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Suspended (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	1.72	5	2.87	12	4.23	16	3.28	11
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053036	Hammond Westside Primary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	14.44	96	10.07	60
	Suspended (Out of School)	8.84	64	6.51	43	4.02	25	2.60	18	0.00	0	0.84	5
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053037	Hammond Westside Upper Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	16.14	102	17.66	95
	Suspended (Out of School)	30.61	176	25.91	150	2.31	13	0.94	6	1.74	11	3.53	19
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	1.57	9	0.52	3	0.18	1	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0

¹ Because of reporting differences among districts, no state suspension or expulsion averages are shown prior to 1996-97.

² Effective with 1995-96 both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 6a: Students Suspended and Expelled
Elementary Schools

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96²		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053038	Hammond Eastside Primary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	15.70	73	21.15	96
	Suspended (Out of School)	6.15	35	4.13	23	0.00	0	1.19	6	1.72	8	0.00	0
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053039	Hammond Eastside Upper Elementary School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	15.42	72	6.75	27
	Suspended (Out of School)	19.54	101	15.96	68	5.23	24	4.41	23	6.85	32	0.75	3
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.19	1	1.41	6	0.44	2	0.38	2	0.00	0	0.00	0
District (Elementary Schools)													
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	5.40	562	6.62	650
	Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	8.39	791	6.44	624	4.24	472	4.59	478	3.80	373
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	0.10	9	0.06	6	0.04	4	0.04	4	0.03	3
District (All Schools)													
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	11.79	2,383	13.82	2,728
	Suspended (Out of School)	15.26	2,771	14.05	2,499	10.61	1,955	6.71	1,472	7.44	1,504	6.83	1,348
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.62	112	0.27	48	0.26	47	0.22	48	0.13	27	0.15	29
State¹ (Elementary Schools)													
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	2.17	8,584	3.07	11,949
	Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	4.26	16,806	4.83	18,811
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.01	34	0.01	37
	Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.08	311	0.11	425
State¹ (All Schools)													
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	5.55	44,040	7.76	61,311
	Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	9.94	78,866	10.54	83,256
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.07	542	0.13	1,014
	Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.44	3,454	0.49	3,901

¹ Because of reporting differences among districts, no state suspension or expulsion averages are shown prior to 1996-97.

² Effective with 1995-96 both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 6b: Students Suspended and Expelled
Middle/Jr. High Schools

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96²		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053010	Hammond Junior High School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	25.00	170	20.22	126
	Suspended (Out of School)	50.73	346	36.20	223	3.57	23	9.72	72	2.79	19	7.06	44
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	4.25	29	1.62	10	1.24	8	0.27	2	0.00	0	0.16	1
053013	Independence Middle School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	28.18	124	29.79	115
	Suspended (Out of School)	22.96	90	27.12	99	21.15	70	0.89	4	1.82	8	3.11	12
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	1.53	6	0.82	3	0.30	1	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
053021	Nesom, Lucille, Middle School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	10.28	62	23.31	121
	Suspended (Out of School)	13.37	75	4.99	22	3.60	16	7.00	43	3.81	23	6.36	33
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	2.32	13	1.13	5	1.35	6	0.33	2	0.00	0	0.00	0
053022	Ponchatoula Junior High School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	16.36	135	39.49	308
	Suspended (Out of School)	21.12	151	33.16	197	16.58	123	15.63	154	20.24	167	16.03	125
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	1.12	8	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.71	7	0.12	1	0.90	7
053032	West Side Middle School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	23.87	184	25.00	178
	Suspended (Out of School)	9.93	89	6.72	54	0.26	2	0.48	4	0.00	0	0.14	1
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	1.00	9	0.75	6	0.00	0	0.84	7	0.78	6	0.70	5
053040	Loranger Middle School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	13.21	81	16.00	88
	Suspended (Out of School)	16.51	88	9.89	55	8.03	48	0.31	2	4.24	26	7.09	39
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.56	3	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.15	1	1.14	7	0.73	4

¹ Because of reporting differences among districts, no state suspension or expulsion averages are shown prior to 1996-97.

² Effective with 1995-96 both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 6b: Students Suspended and Expelled
Middle/Jr. High Schools

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96²		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
District (Middle/Jr. High Schools)													
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	19.77	750	26.75	931
	Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	20.49	650	8.62	282	6.75	277	6.40	243	7.27	253
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	0.76	24	0.46	15	0.46	19	0.37	14	0.49	17
District (All Schools)													
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	11.79	2,383	13.82	2,728
	Suspended (Out of School)	15.26	2,771	14.05	2,499	10.61	1,955	6.71	1,472	7.44	1,504	6.83	1,348
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.62	112	0.27	48	0.26	47	0.22	48	0.13	27	0.15	29
State¹ (Middle/Jr. High Schools)													
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	10.03	14,670	14.53	21,148
	Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	16.40	23,990	18.26	26,576
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.16	234	0.31	448
	Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.87	1,269	1.12	1,629
State¹ (All Schools)													
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	5.55	44,040	7.76	61,311
	Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	9.94	78,866	10.54	83,256
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.07	542	0.13	1,014
	Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.44	3,454	0.49	3,901

¹ Because of reporting differences among districts, no state suspension or expulsion averages are shown prior to 1996-97.

² Effective with 1995-96 both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 6c: Students Suspended and Expelled
High Schools

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96²		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053002	Amite High School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	24.84	199	37.16	291
	Suspended (Out of School)	20.26	139	16.23	111	16.32	126	5.41	41	3.25	26	0.00	0
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	1.02	7	0.44	3	0.52	4	0.00	0	0.37	3	0.00	0
053009	Hammond High School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.13	2	1.16	16
	Suspended (Out of School)	24.53	336	24.20	339	19.69	275	14.61	251	19.16	289	20.56	284
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.51	7	0.71	10	0.07	1	0.47	8	0.00	0	0.22	3
053012	Independence High School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	17.63	134	14.31	99
	Suspended (Out of School)	40.00	228	25.22	175	28.84	212	15.51	125	21.71	165	18.79	130
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.53	3	0.29	2	1.22	9	0.87	7	0.00	0	0.29	2
053015	Kentwood High School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	34.48	160	38.84	174
	Suspended (Out of School)	24.87	96	33.96	126	6.91	26	20.13	96	7.54	35	5.13	23
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	2.59	10	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.84	4	0.43	2	0.22	1
053017	Loranger High School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	15.49	83	16.98	89
	Suspended (Out of School)	10.38	47	6.33	26	8.22	37	3.32	19	8.02	43	5.73	30
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.22	1	0.00	0	0.67	3	0.35	2	0.00	0	0.00	0
053024	Ponchatoula High School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	25.97	416	24.03	386
	Suspended (Out of School)	12.04	165	10.27	146	19.34	298	7.76	135	9.68	155	11.77	189
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.29	4	0.00	0	0.39	6	0.23	4	0.00	0	0.19	3

¹ Because of reporting differences among districts, no state suspension or expulsion averages are shown prior to 1996-97.

² Effective with 1995-96 both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 6c: Students Suspended and Expelled
High Schools

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96²		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053029	Sumner, Jewel M., High School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	12.01	80	14.20	97
	Suspended (Out of School)	14.70	87	18.87	100	13.20	73	8.75	60	11.41	76	10.54	72
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.34	2	0.00	0	0.54	3	0.00	0	0.60	4	0.00	0
053044	Tangipahoa Parish Magnet High School												
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	~	~
	Suspended (Out of School)	0.00	0	10.17	35	0.59	2	0.00	0	0.00	0	~	~
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	~	~
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	~	~
District (High Schools)													
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	16.78	1,071	18.46	1,150
	Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	20.39	1,058	19.20	1,049	10.74	723	12.27	783	11.61	723
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	0.29	15	0.48	26	0.37	25	0.14	9	0.14	9
District (All Schools)													
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	11.79	2,383	13.82	2,728
	Suspended (Out of School)	15.26	2,771	14.05	2,499	10.61	1,955	6.71	1,472	7.44	1,504	6.83	1,348
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0	0.00	0
	Expelled (Out of School)	0.62	112	0.27	48	0.26	47	0.22	48	0.13	27	0.15	29
State¹ (High Schools)													
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	8.67	19,412	11.97	26,592
	Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	15.72	35,175	15.80	35,108
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.11	254	0.23	512
	Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.80	1,797	0.80	1,775
State¹ (All Schools)													
	Suspended (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	5.55	44,040	7.76	61,311
	Suspended (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	9.94	78,866	10.54	83,256
	Expelled (In School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.07	542	0.13	1,014
	Expelled (Out of School)	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.44	3,454	0.49	3,901

¹ Because of reporting differences among districts, no state suspension or expulsion averages are shown prior to 1996-97.

² Effective with 1995-96 both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Part 4. Student Achievement

Reading Level Evaluation Results.....	4-1
Criterion-referenced Test (CRT) Results.....	4-9
Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results	4-19

Reading Level Evaluation Results

The ability to read is essential to survive in our society. Many children learn to read quickly and efficiently once exposed to formal instruction. However, this is not an easy task for some children due to a variety of reasons. These children require high quality preschool and kindergarten programs and excellent primary instruction that emphasize language and literacy skills. Act 450 of the 1997 Legislative Session required each second and third grade teacher to report the number of students reading below grade level within the first thirty days of school.

Organization

Tables 7a and 7b present Reading Level Evaluation Results for grades 2 and 3 respectively. These results present the number and percent of students reading below, on, and above their grade levels. This information is provided for each school in the district receiving a *School Report Card*, with schools listed in site code order. District and state results are presented for comparison purposes. Please use caution when comparing, as each district was permitted to select its own assessment instrument(s).

Definition

The following students were evaluated and included in the assessment results:

- All regular education students enrolled as of October 1, 1997;
- All special education students whose IEP designate that they are in a specially designed, regular instructional program;
- All Limited English Proficient (LEP) students who were enrolled in and completed at least two full consecutive academic years in an English-speaking school (including kindergarten);
- Students in alternative programs or placements who are addressing regular curriculum standards; and
- All disabled students according to Section 504.

Assessment Instruments

Each teacher used one of the following types of assessment instruments:

- Basal reading test
- Informal reading inventory
- Computerized reading inventory
- Other standardized tests, such as norm-referenced tests, criterion-referenced tests, etc.

Data Presentation: *School Report Card*

The *1997-98 School Report Cards* present school-level count and percent of students reading below, on, and above their grade levels for grades 2 and 3.

Method of Calculation

The formula used to compute the percents of students reading below, on, and above their grade levels is presented on the following page.

Data Sources

The Reading Level data is based on district-reported data submitted to the Louisiana Department of Education, Division of School Standards, Accountability and Assistance.

Formula Used to Calculate Percent of Students Reading Below, On, and Above Their Grade Levels

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Percent of Students} \\ \text{Reading Below} \\ \text{Grade Level} \end{array} = \frac{\text{Number of Students Reading Below Grade Level}}{\text{Total Number of Students Assessed in that Grade}} \times 100$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Percent of Students} \\ \text{Reading On} \\ \text{Grade Level} \end{array} = \frac{\text{Number of Students Reading On Grade Level}}{\text{Total Number of Students Assessed in that Grade}} \times 100$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Percent of Students} \\ \text{Reading Above} \\ \text{Grade Level} \end{array} = \frac{\text{Number of Students Reading Above Grade Level}}{\text{Total Number of Students Assessed in that Grade}} \times 100$$

Table 7a: Reading Level Evaluation Results - Grade 2
Number and Percent of Students Reading Below, On, or Above Grade Level

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98¹	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053001 Amite Elementary School													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		121
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	71.90	87
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	18.18	22
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	9.92	12
053003 Champ Cooper Elementary School													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		61
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	72.13	44
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	19.67	12
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	8.20	5
053004 Chesbrough Elementary School													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		67
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	86.57	58
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	10.45	7
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	2.99	2
053011 Independence Elementary School													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		84
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	96.43	81
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	1.19	1
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	2.38	2
053014 Kentwood Elementary School													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		51
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	76.47	39
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	23.53	12
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
053016 Loranger Elementary School													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		115
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	62.61	72
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	21.74	25
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	15.65	18

¹ Effective in 1997-98, the Louisiana Legislature required each second and third grade teacher to report the number of students reading below grade level.

~ = Unavailable data

Table 7a: Reading Level Evaluation Results - Grade 2
Number and Percent of Students Reading Below, On, or Above Grade Level

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98¹	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053018 Midway Elementary School													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		158
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	68.99	109
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	24.05	38
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	6.96	11
053026 Roseland Elementary School													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		52
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	92.31	48
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	5.77	3
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	1.92	1
053027 Southeastern LA University Lab School													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		25
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	52.00	13
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.00	0
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	48.00	12
053028 Spring Creek Elementary School													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		62
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	74.19	46
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	14.52	9
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	11.29	7
053030 Tucker Memorial Elementary School													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		342
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	80.12	274
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	15.20	52
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	4.68	16
053036 Hammond Westside Primary School													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		156
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	92.95	145
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	5.77	9
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	1.28	2

¹ Effective in 1997-98, the Louisiana Legislature required each second and third grade teacher to report the number of students reading below grade level.

~ = Unavailable data

Table 7a: Reading Level Evaluation Results - Grade 2
Number and Percent of Students Reading Below, On, or Above Grade Level

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98¹	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053038 Hammond Eastside Primary School													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		100
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	91.00	91
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	4.00	4
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	5.00	5
District													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		1,394
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	79.41	1,107
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	13.92	194
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	6.67	93
State (Public)													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		58,692
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	43.48	25,518
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	37.09	21,767
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	19.44	11,407

¹ Effective in 1997-98, the Louisiana Legislature required each second and third grade teacher to report the number of students reading below grade level.

~ = Unavailable data

Table 7b: Reading Level Evaluation Results - Grade 3
Number and Percent of Students Reading Below, On, or Above Grade Level

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98¹	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053001	Amite Elementary School												
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		128
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	74.22	95
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	14.84	19
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	10.94	14
053003	Champ Cooper Elementary School												
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		58
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	55.17	32
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	22.41	13
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	22.41	13
053004	Chesbrough Elementary School												
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		44
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	61.36	27
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	25.00	11
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	13.64	6
053011	Independence Elementary School												
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		76
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	81.58	62
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	14.47	11
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	3.95	3
053014	Kentwood Elementary School												
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		51
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	80.39	41
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	15.69	8
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	3.92	2
053016	Loranger Elementary School												
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		121
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	68.60	83
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	18.18	22
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	13.22	16

¹ Effective in 1997-98, the Louisiana Legislature required each second and third grade teacher to report the number of students reading below grade level.

~ = Unavailable data

Table 7b: Reading Level Evaluation Results - Grade 3
Number and Percent of Students Reading Below, On, or Above Grade Level

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98¹	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053020	Natalbany Elementary School												
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		149
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	63.76	95
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	25.50	38
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	10.74	16
053025	Reeves, D. C. Elementary School												
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		260
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	58.46	152
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	23.08	60
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	18.46	48
053026	Roseland Elementary School												
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		21
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	85.71	18
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	9.52	2
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	4.76	1
053027	Southeastern LA University Lab School												
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		25
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	24.00	6
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	36.00	9
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	40.00	10
053028	Spring Creek Elementary School												
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		64
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	62.50	40
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	14.06	9
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	23.44	15
053036	Hammond Westside Primary School												
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		175
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	82.86	145
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	13.14	23
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	4.00	7

¹ Effective in 1997-98, the Louisiana Legislature required each second and third grade teacher to report the number of students reading below grade level.

~ = Unavailable data

Table 7b: Reading Level Evaluation Results - Grade 3
Number and Percent of Students Reading Below, On, or Above Grade Level

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98¹	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053038 Hammond Eastside Primary School													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		125
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	83.20	104
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	10.40	13
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	6.40	8
District													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		1,297
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	69.39	900
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	18.35	238
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	12.26	159
State (Public)													
	Students Assessed		~		~		~		~		~		56,800
	Students Reading Below Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	38.00	21,585
	Students Reading On Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	42.23	23,989
	Students Reading Above Their Grade Level	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	19.76	11,226

¹ Effective in 1997-98, the Louisiana Legislature required each second and third grade teacher to report the number of students reading below grade level.

~ = Unavailable data

Criterion-referenced Test (CRT) Results

Educational tests such as criterion-referenced tests (CRTs) are, in one form or another, tests of academic achievement based on a pre-stated set of standards.

The CRTs administered in this state are part of the Louisiana Educational Assessment Program (LEAP) and are administered in April of each year to public school students at specified grade levels. For the secondary level, the CRT is the Graduation Exit Examination (GEE).

These tests are administered to all students with the exception of special education students whose educational program is Alternative to Regular Placement (ARP). The Progress Profiles Program reports scores for all students taking the tests. This reflects the same reporting format used by the LEAP.

In Louisiana, CRTs provide a measure of the extent to which students meet state-established, grade-level skill requirements in the following subject areas:

- *Grades 3 and 5*—Language Arts and Mathematics,
- *Grade 7*—Language Arts and Mathematics, and
- *Secondary Level (GEE)*—English Language Arts, Mathematics, Written Composition, Science, and Social Studies.

Organization

Tables 8a - 8c provide CRT Results for Grades 3, 5, and 7, respectively, while Table 8d provides GEE test results for first-time GEE test takers. The tables present CRT results for each school in the district receiving a *School Report Card*, with schools shown in school site code order. Also, comparison data are presented for the district and the state.

The tables reflect both the number of students taking the test and the percent of students who meet or exceed standards for the respective grade levels. Thus, the percent of students passing a specific test is the percent scoring at or above the performance standard that the state has set in that subject area.

Data Presentation: *School Report Cards*

The *1997-98 School Report Cards* present school, district, and state percent passing rates by grade level and subject area.

Definition

Criterion-referenced tests (CRTs)—tests that produce a score that tells how individuals/schools perform in achieving an established criteria; LEAP CRT results (as reported by *Progress Profiles*) show the number and percent of Louisiana students who meet or exceed state curriculum content standards.

Data Source

The CRT indicator is based on student-level data tapes provided to the LDE by National Computer Systems, test contractor for the Louisiana Educational Assessment Program (LEAP).

Table 8a: Criterion-referenced Test (LEAP) Results - Grade 3
Percent of Students Passing and Number of Students Tested

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96¹		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053001	Amite Elementary School												
	Language Arts	83	138	86	133	85	131	85	136	94	112	90	117
	Mathematics	80	138	83	133	85	129	86	136	96	112	85	116
053003	Champ Cooper Elementary School												
	Language Arts	95	62	94	77	95	57	93	57	97	74	98	57
	Mathematics	100	62	86	77	95	56	96	56	97	74	100	57
053004	Chesbrough Elementary School												
	Language Arts	84	57	95	42	94	35	88	42	84	43	88	48
	Mathematics	84	57	100	42	97	35	98	42	81	43	92	48
053011	Independence Elementary School												
	Language Arts	88	91	92	95	90	87	81	84	79	89	92	76
	Mathematics	89	91	94	95	94	87	81	84	89	88	92	76
053014	Kentwood Elementary School												
	Language Arts	70	63	89	62	85	47	88	67	84	63	81	47
	Mathematics	79	63	92	62	89	47	82	67	89	64	83	47
053016	Loranger Elementary School												
	Language Arts	87	127	92	97	94	99	84	96	90	118	93	122
	Mathematics	94	127	97	97	99	99	88	96	94	118	85	122
053020	Natalbany Elementary School												
	Language Arts	85	158	94	127	88	139	95	148	91	151	88	151
	Mathematics	90	158	91	127	87	138	95	148	87	151	87	151
053025	Reeves, D. C. Elementary School												
	Language Arts	92	309	91	293	93	273	90	324	92	278	91	290
	Mathematics	90	308	90	292	93	271	91	323	91	278	90	290
053026	Roseland Elementary School												
	Language Arts	83	42	71	52	74	38	74	39	75	32	86	22
	Mathematics	88	42	78	51	76	38	82	39	75	32	86	22
053027	Southeastern LA University Lab School												
	Language Arts	92	25	100	23	100	25	100	25	100	24	100	25
	Mathematics	88	25	100	23	100	25	100	25	100	24	100	25
053028	Spring Creek Elementary School												
	Language Arts	95	38	95	65	93	41	85	55	81	58	94	67
	Mathematics	97	38	92	65	93	41	87	55	83	58	87	67

¹ Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 8a: Criterion-referenced Test (LEAP) Results - Grade 3
Percent of Students Passing and Number of Students Tested

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96¹		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053036	Hammond Westside Primary School												
	Language Arts	79	165	75	177	75	153	85	161	85	130	87	167
	Mathematics	73	165	74	177	73	153	78	161	82	130	84	167
053038	Hammond Eastside Primary School												
	Language Arts	79	136	69	130	67	126	69	108	63	115	69	122
	Mathematics	76	136	71	129	72	126	82	108	73	115	75	120
District													
	Language Arts	85	1,411	86	1,373	86	1,251	86	1,342	86	1,287	88	1,311
	Mathematics	86	1,410	86	1,370	87	1,245	87	1,340	88	1,287	87	1,308
State													
	Language Arts	91	56,847	91	57,518	90	55,985	90	58,218	91	56,926	90	56,711
	Mathematics	90	56,593	91	57,278	90	55,728	89	58,034	91	56,792	88	56,534

¹ Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 8b: Criterion-referenced Test (LEAP) Results - Grade 5
Percent of Students Passing and Number of Students Tested

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96¹		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053003	Champ Cooper Elementary School												
	Language Arts	100	58	98	65	99	72	97	77	95	64	100	52
	Mathematics	98	58	97	65	97	72	97	76	100	64	100	52
053004	Chesbrough Elementary School												
	Language Arts	87	45	95	44	100	53	90	51	87	52	87	45
	Mathematics	89	44	98	44	91	53	96	51	92	52	89	45
053013	Independence Middle School												
	Language Arts	87	68	83	64	89	61	79	82	78	83	86	81
	Mathematics	85	68	86	65	92	61	82	82	90	83	88	81
053014	Kentwood Elementary School												
	Language Arts	72	71	63	59	77	57	74	72	82	45	65	55
	Mathematics	69	71	61	59	84	57	79	72	96	45	75	55
053020	Natalbany Elementary School												
	Language Arts	90	115	89	121	89	143	86	142	86	147	84	172
	Mathematics	95	115	94	121	88	143	89	141	90	147	91	172
053026	Roseland Elementary School												
	Language Arts	87	47	71	45	67	43	79	61	63	38	68	34
	Mathematics	87	47	58	45	74	42	75	61	82	38	71	34
053027	Southeastern LA University Lab School												
	Language Arts	100	27	100	24	100	23	100	25	96	25	100	25
	Mathematics	100	27	100	24	100	23	100	25	100	25	100	25
053028	Spring Creek Elementary School												
	Language Arts	96	50	94	52	95	39	92	60	89	55	89	61
	Mathematics	98	50	96	52	95	39	93	60	91	55	85	61
053031	Vinyard, Martha, Elementary School												
	Language Arts	94	262	88	289	95	312	94	311	92	298	88	348
	Mathematics	94	262	88	288	94	312	95	311	93	299	88	347
053032	West Side Middle School												
	Language Arts	89	135	89	124	86	119	83	122	77	124	83	126
	Mathematics	89	135	97	124	97	118	84	122	84	124	89	126
053037	Hammond Westside Upper Elementary School												
	Language Arts	84	143	82	120	68	139	64	155	69	163	75	135
	Mathematics	78	144	79	120	65	139	71	154	76	164	76	135

¹ Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 8b: Criterion-referenced Test (LEAP) Results - Grade 5
Percent of Students Passing and Number of Students Tested

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96¹		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053039	Hammond Eastside Upper Elementary School												
	Language Arts	83	120	82	112	73	115	70	119	75	110	89	111
	Mathematics	83	120	80	112	83	115	73	118	90	110	87	111
053040	Loranger Middle School												
	Language Arts	97	118	93	121	98	123	92	112	92	106	88	98
	Mathematics	100	117	96	121	99	122	96	112	92	106	89	98
District													
	Language Arts	89	1,259	87	1,240	87	1,299	84	1,390	84	1,317	85	1,343
	Mathematics	89	1,258	88	1,240	89	1,296	87	1,386	89	1,319	87	1,342
State													
	Language Arts	90	55,817	90	54,975	90	53,644	87	59,292	87	57,617	85	55,793
	Mathematics	90	55,725	91	54,885	91	53,564	89	59,183	89	57,637	88	55,716

¹ Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 8c: Criterion-referenced Test (LEAP) Results - Grade 7
Percent of Students Passing and Number of Students Tested

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96¹		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053003	Champ Cooper Elementary School												
	Language Arts	96	57	96	56	98	65	96	78	100	79	99	67
	Mathematics	95	57	95	57	85	65	95	78	94	79	97	67
053010	Hammond Junior High School												
	Language Arts	83	237	81	229	77	242	76	253	72	237	73	253
	Mathematics	76	234	72	229	73	244	70	251	62	236	74	252
053013	Independence Middle School												
	Language Arts	85	65	84	62	88	69	91	74	89	75	94	78
	Mathematics	78	64	79	62	92	66	78	73	81	75	83	81
053015	Kentwood High School												
	Language Arts	81	54	89	57	88	69	66	61	70	69	76	68
	Mathematics	91	54	75	57	75	69	52	61	59	69	72	68
053021	Nesom, Lucille, Middle School												
	Language Arts	93	114	93	117	91	107	87	130	85	154	91	129
	Mathematics	91	113	74	117	78	106	70	130	81	154	85	128
053022	Ponchatoula Junior High School												
	Language Arts	96	276	93	245	94	293	94	337	94	342	96	342
	Mathematics	92	277	87	242	85	293	85	336	90	346	92	342
053027	Southeastern LA University Lab School												
	Language Arts	100	22	100	23	93	27	100	26	100	27	100	27
	Mathematics	91	22	96	23	96	27	96	26	100	27	100	27
053029	Sumner, Jewel M., High School												
	Language Arts	95	85	99	77	96	93	94	114	95	110	90	135
	Mathematics	94	85	91	78	86	93	84	114	85	110	81	135
053032	West Side Middle School												
	Language Arts	82	198	87	172	93	168	89	167	84	167	87	165
	Mathematics	79	197	77	172	80	168	71	167	71	167	81	165
053040	Loranger Middle School												
	Language Arts	98	95	97	112	97	123	93	134	94	142	91	116
	Mathematics	96	95	96	112	94	123	84	134	92	142	93	115

¹ Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 8c: Criterion-referenced Test (LEAP) Results - Grade 7
Percent of Students Passing and Number of Students Tested

	1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96¹		1996-97		1997-98	
	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
District												
Language Arts	90	1,203	90	1,150	90	1,259	88	1,379	87	1,423	88	1,393
Mathematics	86	1,198	82	1,149	82	1,257	78	1,375	80	1,425	84	1,393
State												
Language Arts	88	55,206	89	54,345	88	53,799	87	57,198	86	56,041	85	57,215
Mathematics	83	55,018	83	54,272	82	53,599	79	56,798	80	55,823	79	57,055

¹ Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 8d: Graduate Exit Examination (GEE) Results
Percent of Students Passing and Number of Students Tested

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96¹		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053002	Amite High School												
	Language Arts	92	144	91	128	89	116	90	162	82	148	89	160
	Mathematics	79	144	76	128	82	115	80	163	71	147	66	161
	Written Composition	88	142	83	126	92	115	95	158	94	142	97	161
	Science	87	110	89	132	85	117	92	101	82	152	76	128
	Social Studies	82	111	84	132	93	116	94	101	84	153	83	128
053009	Hammond High School												
	Language Arts	93	220	92	243	87	263	86	282	85	226	87	319
	Mathematics	87	221	79	247	76	266	75	284	82	225	71	320
	Written Composition	93	215	90	247	97	260	95	277	93	221	94	307
	Science	86	181	91	172	83	202	75	217	78	227	70	216
	Social Studies	90	179	91	173	87	203	86	218	87	227	81	217
053012	Independence High School												
	Language Arts	90	114	87	106	86	129	87	106	82	130	87	135
	Mathematics	79	113	63	105	69	130	71	105	74	128	84	135
	Written Composition	92	114	90	104	94	126	91	106	92	129	98	134
	Science	93	89	88	104	87	92	74	115	87	107	81	113
	Social Studies	84	89	83	105	81	93	88	116	91	107	87	113
053015	Kentwood High School												
	Language Arts	85	41	82	39	70	50	76	38	70	43	68	50
	Mathematics	80	41	67	39	58	50	53	38	47	43	80	49
	Written Composition	100	39	85	39	96	50	95	37	100	42	98	49
	Science	76	41	93	42	97	36	75	40	81	36	83	42
	Social Studies	88	41	95	42	100	36	97	39	100	36	98	42
053017	Loranger High School												
	Language Arts	95	94	92	98	97	91	90	103	90	110	92	124
	Mathematics	84	94	90	97	88	91	81	102	79	109	85	123
	Written Composition	94	94	94	95	99	91	98	101	95	107	98	122
	Science	89	70	90	84	84	96	85	82	83	82	85	96
	Social Studies	95	73	89	84	89	96	90	82	93	82	91	97

¹ Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 8d: Graduate Exit Examination (GEE) Results
Percent of Students Passing and Number of Students Tested

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96¹		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053024	Ponchatoula High School												
	Language Arts	97	298	98	295	96	270	94	351	93	285	93	348
	Mathematics	90	296	87	294	90	270	83	350	85	284	86	346
	Written Composition	98	296	97	290	99	266	99	341	97	278	99	348
	Science	92	225	96	276	92	279	91	253	91	308	91	256
	Social Studies	97	223	96	275	92	279	93	253	93	308	91	255
053029	Sumner, Jewel M., High School												
	Language Arts	90	79	84	61	93	72	93	82	80	70	95	110
	Mathematics	80	79	69	61	79	71	83	82	89	70	73	110
	Written Composition	90	79	85	61	94	70	96	82	87	70	96	108
	Science	86	59	86	65	66	53	88	68	85	72	91	68
	Social Studies	90	60	88	65	85	53	91	69	90	72	93	68
053044	Tangipahoa Parish Magnet High School												
	Language Arts	56	18	41	22	60	20	43	14	42	24	59	22
	Mathematics	30	23	18	17	30	20	21	14	13	23	21	24
	Written Composition	60	15	58	12	93	14	83	6	54	13	73	15
	Science	52	27	73	11	54	13	60	15	67	15	60	10
	Social Studies	56	25	50	14	42	12	38	16	33	15	60	10
District													
	Language Arts	93	1,008	91	992	90	1,015	89	1,140	85	1,039	89	1,280
	Mathematics	83	1,011	78	988	79	1,017	78	1,140	77	1,032	76	1,280
	Written Composition	93	994	90	974	97	993	96	1,110	94	1,005	97	1,252
	Science	87	802	92	886	86	888	83	892	85	1,000	81	938
	Social Studies	90	801	90	890	89	888	89	895	89	1,001	87	939
State													
	Language Arts	91	41,775	89	41,673	88	43,743	86	45,492	84	45,342	87	46,128
	Mathematics	83	41,697	79	41,603	80	43,654	77	45,381	77	45,307	76	46,004
	Written Composition	91	40,947	90	40,806	95	42,663	93	44,283	93	43,983	95	44,944
	Science	86	35,820	90	37,264	85	36,977	82	39,927	82	40,423	84	40,021
	Social Studies	90	35,778	90	37,230	90	36,998	90	39,989	88	40,407	88	39,891

¹ Effective with 1995-96, both regular and special education students are included in the calculations; hence, prior years' data are not comparable.

~ = Unavailable Data

Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results

The Louisiana Educational Assessment Program (LEAP) utilizes norm-referenced tests (NRTs) for national student comparisons with Louisiana students. From 1988 to 1992, Louisiana's NRT was the *California Achievement Test (CAT)* Form F, and from 1993 to 1997, Louisiana's NRT was the CAT/5. In 1997, the Iowa Tests were adopted for first administration in the spring of 1998. At grades 4, 6, and 8, the *Iowa Tests of Basic Skills (ITBS)* were administered and at grades 9, 10, and 11, the *Iowa Tests of Educational Development (ITED)* were administered.

ITBS consists of thirteen tests in the subject areas of reading, language, mathematics, social studies, science, and sources of information. The Mathematics Computation test was administered only at grade 4; Mathematics Computation is not used to calculate the Math Total, Core Total, nor the Composite score. The *Iowa Tests of Basic Skills* Composite score is the average of the scores for Reading Total, Language Total, Mathematics Total, Social Studies, Science, and Sources of Information Total. The NRT data tables for grades 4, 6, and 8 in the *School Report Cards* and *District Composite Reports* are based on the Composite percentile rank of the average standard score.

ITED consists of seven tests: Vocabulary, Correctness and Appropriateness of Expression, Ability to Do Quantitative Thinking, Ability to Interpret Literacy Materials, Analysis of Social Studies Materials, Analysis of Science Materials, and Use of Sources of Information. The *Iowa Tests of Educational Development* Composite score is the average of the scores for the seven tests. The NRT data tables for grades 9, 10, and 11 in the *School Report Cards* and *District Composite Reports* are based on the Composite percentile rank of the average standard score.

These test are administered to all students with the exception of special education students whose educational program is Alternative to Regular Placement (ARP). Scores are reported for all students not requiring accommodations to the standardization administration procedures.

Organization

Tables 9a to 9f present 1997-98 NRT results for grades 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, and 11, respectively. Test results are presented for all schools in the district receiving a *School Report Card*, with schools listed in site code order. District, state, and national results are presented for comparison purposes.

Data are grouped as follows:

- *Quartile 4*--the percent of students who scored in the top 25% of students in the national norm group. If 32 of 100 students scored this high, Quartile 4 would read 32 percent.
- *Quartile 3*--the percent of students who scored between the 50th and the 74th national percentiles.
- *Quartile 2*-- the percent of students who scored between the 25th and 49th national percentiles.
- *Quartile 1*--the percent of students who scored between the 1st and 24th national percentiles.
- *Percentile Rank of the Average Standard Score for the National Student Norms*-- percentile rank of the average student in the school, district, or state. For example, a percentile rank of 48 for a school means that 48 percent of the students in the norm group scored at or below the average score obtained by the students in the school.

Data Presentation: *School Report Card*

The 1997-98 *School Report Cards* present percentile rank of the average standard score for the national student norms at the school, district, and state levels.

Definition

Norm-referenced tests (NRTs)-- These tests produce scores that tell how schools/individuals perform in comparison with other schools/individuals; LEAP NRT results (as reported by the *Progress Profiles*) show how Louisiana schools perform when compared with the district, state, and nation.

Data Source

The NRT indicator is based on student-level data provided to the Louisiana Department of Education by Riverside Publishing, test contractor for The Iowa Tests.

Table 9a: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 4

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
053001	Amite Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	14.6
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	16.9
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	34.8
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	33.7
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	40.0
053003	Champ Cooper Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	21.3
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	34.4
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	31.1
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	13.1
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	54.0
053004	Chesbrough Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	5.4
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	27.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.7
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	37.8
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	38.0
053011	Independence Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	5.6
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	16.7
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.2
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	48.6
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	30.0
053014	Kentwood Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	0.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	10.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	16.0
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	74.0
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	20.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9a: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 4

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
053016	Loranger Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	16.7
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	24.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	31.3
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	28.1
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	45.0
053020	Natalbany Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	6.1
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	28.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	28.8
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	37.1
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	37.0
053025	Reeves, D. C. Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	23.8
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	24.2
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	30.1
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	21.9
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	53.0
053026	Roseland Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	0.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	3.8
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	26.9
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	69.2
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	19.0
053027	Southeastern LA University Lab School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	18.2
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	40.9
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	36.4
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	4.5
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	58.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9a: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 4

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
053028	Spring Creek Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	15.2
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	19.6
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	34.8
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	30.4
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	41.0
053037	Hammond Westside Upper Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	3.5
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	11.4
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	28.9
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	56.1
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	26.0
053039	Hammond Eastside Upper Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	14.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	16.1
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	28.0
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	41.9
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	36.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9a: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 4

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
District							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	13.3
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	21.2
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.7
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	35.8
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	40.0
State							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	15.2
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	22.2
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	31.8
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	30.8
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	42.0
Nation							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	50.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9b: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 6

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
053003	Champ Cooper Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	38.3
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	31.9
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.5
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	4.3
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	66.0
053004	Chesbrough Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	11.6
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	14.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	51.2
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	23.3
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	40.0
053013	Independence Middle School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	7.1
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	11.4
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	34.3
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	47.1
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	31.0
053014	Kentwood Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	7.3
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	4.9
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	34.1
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	53.7
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	30.0
053021	Nesom, Lucille, Middle School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	15.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	20.8
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	38.3
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.8
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	43.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9b: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 6

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
053026	Roseland Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	3.6
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	7.1
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	42.9
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	46.4
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	27.0
053027	Southeastern LA University Lab School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	70.8
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	4.2
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	0.0
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	83.0
053028	Spring Creek Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	20.8
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	16.7
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	31.3
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	31.3
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	48.0
053031	Vinyard, Martha, Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.1
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	26.3
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	30.5
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	18.1
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	54.0
053032	West Side Middle School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	11.7
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	13.6
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	37.9
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	36.9
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	36.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9b: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 6

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
053037	Hammond Westside Upper Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	7.4
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	22.2
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	32.4
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	38.0
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	36.0
053039	Hammond Eastside Upper Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	8.6
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	18.5
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	32.1
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	40.7
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	34.0
053040	Loranger Middle School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	23.7
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	28.9
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	20.6
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	26.8
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	51.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9b: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 6

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
District							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	17.8
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	20.5
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	32.1
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.5
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	44.0
State							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	16.5
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	23.6
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.6
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	30.3
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	44.0
Nation							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	50.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9c: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 8

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
053003	Champ Cooper Elementary School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	34.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	32.1
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	22.6
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	11.3
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	60.0
053010	Hammond Junior High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	4.3
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	5.3
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.1
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	65.2
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	22.0
053013	Independence Middle School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	1.9
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	24.5
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	26.4
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	47.2
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	33.0
053015	Kentwood High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	2.3
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	7.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	32.6
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	58.1
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	23.0
053021	Nesom, Lucille, Middle School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	13.6
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	30.4
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	32.0
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	24.0
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	47.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9c: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 8

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
053022	Ponchatoula Junior High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	20.1
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	36.6
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	28.8
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	14.5
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	55.0
053027	Southeastern LA University Lab School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	37.5
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	45.8
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	16.7
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	0.0
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	69.0
053029	Sumner, Jewel M., High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	12.4
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	12.4
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	41.6
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	33.7
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	35.0
053032	West Side Middle School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	9.5
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	17.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	30.6
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	42.9
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	34.0
053040	Loranger Middle School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	20.5
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	30.4
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	34.8
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	14.3
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	53.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9c: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 8

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

District		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
District	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	14.3
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	24.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.4
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	32.3
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	42.0
State							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	15.3
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	24.7
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	30.5
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.5
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	44.0
Nation							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	50.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9d: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 9

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
053002	Amite High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	9.4
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	21.4
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	24.5
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	44.7
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	35.0
053009	Hammond High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	14.9
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	22.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	34.0
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.1
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	43.0
053012	Independence High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	7.8
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	19.1
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.6
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	43.5
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	34.0
053015	Kentwood High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	0.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	10.6
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	36.2
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	53.2
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	23.0
053017	Loranger High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	13.4
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	31.9
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	32.8
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	21.8
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	47.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9d: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 9

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
053024	Ponchatoula High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	24.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	31.1
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	26.4
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	18.5
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	54.0
053029	Sumner, Jewel M., High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	13.1
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	32.3
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	37.4
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	17.2
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	47.0
053044	Tangipahoa Parish Magnet High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	0.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	6.7
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	20.0
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	73.3
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	17.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9d: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 9

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
District							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	14.8
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.2
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.5
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	30.5
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	43.0
State							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	16.4
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	24.1
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	28.9
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	30.7
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	43.0
Nation							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	50.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9e: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 10

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
053002	Amite High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	11.1
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	20.4
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	31.5
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	37.0
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	38.0
053009	Hammond High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	16.5
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	19.1
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	28.1
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	36.3
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	41.0
053012	Independence High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	6.3
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	22.8
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	40.9
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.9
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	36.0
053015	Kentwood High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	8.7
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	8.7
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	21.7
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	60.9
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	26.0
053017	Loranger High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	14.7
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.4
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	38.2
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	17.6
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	49.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9e: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 10

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
053024	Ponchatoula High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	27.7
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	32.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	27.4
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	12.9
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	57.0
053029	Sumner, Jewel M., High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	6.5
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	45.7
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	22.8
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	41.0
053044	Tangipahoa Parish Magnet High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	0.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	0.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	12.5
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	87.5
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	11.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9e: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 10

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
District							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	15.9
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	23.8
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	31.9
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	28.4
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	43.0
State							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	17.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	24.2
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	28.6
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	30.3
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	44.0
Nation							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	50.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9f: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 11

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
053002	Amite High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	14.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	19.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	22.0
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	45.0
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	37.0
053009	Hammond High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	19.3
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	22.5
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	33.7
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	24.6
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	46.0
053012	Independence High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	9.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	26.9
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	40.3
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	23.9
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	43.0
053015	Kentwood High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	3.2
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	12.9
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	32.3
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	51.6
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	27.0
053017	Loranger High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	18.8
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	34.4
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	28.1
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	18.8
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	51.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9f: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 11

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
053024	Ponchatoula High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.3
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	28.3
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	30.7
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	11.7
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	58.0
053029	Sumner, Jewel M., High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	18.9
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	37.7
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	28.3
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	15.1
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	53.0
053044	Tangipahoa Parish Magnet High School						
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	0.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	0.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	33.3
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	66.7
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	19.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Table 9f: Norm-referenced Test (NRT) Results - Grade 11

Percentile Rank of Average Standard Score for National Student Norms - The Iowa Tests

		1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98 ¹
District							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	19.5
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.7
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	30.9
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	23.9
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	48.0
State							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	19.6
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	22.8
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	29.5
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	28.1
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	45.0
Nation							
	Fourth Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Third Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Second Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	First Quartile	~	~	~	~	~	25.0
	Percentile Rank	~	~	~	~	~	50.0

¹ In 1997-98, the state NRT changed from the CAT/5 to The Iowa Tests; hence, prior years' data are not presented.

~ = Unavailable Data

Part 5. College Readiness

American College Test (ACT) Results	5-1
First-Time Freshmen Performance	5-3

American College Test (ACT) Results

Scores on the American College Test (ACT) are widely used as an indicator of student preparedness for college. Most Louisiana public colleges and universities require that entering students take the ACT for admissions or placement purposes.

Organization

Table 10, American College Test (ACT) Results, presents average composite scores for graduating seniors for each school in the district receiving a *School Report Card*. Schools are shown in school site code order. Comparison data are presented for the district (public schools only), the state (public and nonpublic schools combined), and the nation (public and nonpublic schools combined).

The ACT results shown include test scores for 1) twelfth graders who took the test in the current year and 2) twelfth graders who took the test as eleventh graders and elected not to retake it as seniors. If a student took the test in both the eleventh and twelfth grades, only the twelfth grade score has been included.

Data Presentation: *School Report Card*

A college readiness indicator that includes ACT information is presented on the *1997-98 School Report Cards* of those schools that have a twelfth grade. The *School Report Cards* present 1997-98 average ACT composite scores at the school, district, state, and national levels.

Method of Calculation

The ACT composite score is an average score based on the scores for the four ACT assessment tests (English, mathematics, reading, and science reasoning). The composite score, which ranges from 1 to 36, is a measure of the student's general educational development across these four subject areas.

Data Source

The ACT indicator is based on student-level data supplied to the LDE by the testing contractor, American College Testing.

References

Franklin, B.J., and Crone, L.J., (1993, April). *Louisiana Progress Profiles*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Atlanta, Ga.

LDE researchers have found the ACT performance of Louisiana students correlates highly with their performance on LEAP (CRT and NRT) tests. Further, those districts with the highest percentage of students taking the ACT have the highest ACT scores. This finding tends to dispute a widely-held assumption that the higher the percentage of students taking the ACT, the lower the average score (Franklin and Crone, 1993).

Table 10: American College Test (ACT) Results
Average Composite Scores

	1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98
053002 Amite High School	18.6	18.6	17.7	18.0	17.3	17.8
053009 Hammond High School	19.2	18.4	19.2	18.0	18.2	19.3
053012 Independence High School	17.6	17.4	17.2	18.0	17.7	17.5
053015 Kentwood High School	14.6	15.0	16.0	17.1	14.9	17.9
053017 Loranger High School	19.9	19.4	18.6	19.1	20.1	20.1
053024 Ponchatoula High School	20.2	19.6	20.1	20.0	21.4	21.0
053029 Sumner, Jewel M., High School	17.1	18.2	18.4	17.2	17.5	19.4
053044 Tangipahoa Parish Magnet High School	14.0	18.0	15.0	13.0	~	15.5
District (Public)	18.9	18.5	18.9	18.7	19.0	19.5
State (Public and Nonpublic)	19.5	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.5
Nation (Public and Nonpublic)	20.7	20.8	20.8	20.9	21.0	21.0

~ = Unavailable Data

First-Time Freshmen Performance

The number of freshmen who enroll in remedial courses during their first semester of college is one measure of the extent to which high school graduates are prepared for college.

Since 1987, the Louisiana Board of Regents has collected and reported information on the number of Louisiana high school graduates who enroll in Louisiana colleges and universities the following fall and enroll in remedial/developmental courses. The 1993 Legislature, believing that parents should have access to this information, enacted legislation mandating that this first-time college freshmen data be incorporated into the *Progress Profiles*.

Organization

Table 11, First-time College Freshmen Performance, presents the number and percent of students who 1) graduated from *Report Card* schools and 2) enrolled as first-time freshmen during the following fall semester at any of the state's two- and four-year public and private universities. The table also reports the number and percent of first-time college freshmen who were enrolled in at least one remedial course during their first regular semester of college study.

Data Presentation: *School Report Card*

The college readiness indicator that includes first-time college freshmen information is presented on the 1997-98 *School Report Cards* of schools that have a twelfth grade.

Note: The first-time college freshmen data reported on 1997-98 School Report Cards represent information on 1996-97 high school graduates.

Definitions

- *First-time college freshman*—a student who graduates from high school during a given school year and is enrolled full time in a Louisiana higher education institution the following fall semester. A student must begin the fall semester with fewer than 12 hours of credit previously attempted (not including advanced placement credits and correspondence study) to be considered a first-time freshman.

Formula Used to Calculate First-time College Freshmen Percentages

$$\frac{\text{Percent of High School Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen}}{\text{Total Number of High School Graduates}} = \frac{\text{Number of First-time College Freshmen}}{\text{Total Number of High School Graduates}} \times 100$$

$$\frac{\text{Percent of First-time College Freshmen Who Enrolled in a Remedial Course}}{\text{Total Number of First-time College Freshmen}} = \frac{\text{Number of First-time College Freshmen Who Enrolled in a Remedial Course}}{\text{Total Number of First-time College Freshmen}} \times 100$$

-
- *Graduate*—a student who successfully completes a BESE-approved education program, passes the Graduation Exit Examination (GEE), and thus earns a state-approved diploma. Students who earn GEDs are not included.
 - *Remedial course*—a course designed by a university to prepare students to succeed academically in college-level courses. Remedial/developmental courses may be offered for college credit (i.e., they are taken into consideration in determining whether students are enrolled part time or full time) but do not carry degree credit.

Method of Calculation

The two formulas used in calculating the first-time college freshmen indicator are presented on the preceding page. The percent of high school graduates who become first-time college freshmen is calculated for public school graduates who attend in-state public colleges and universities.

Data Sources

The first-time college freshmen indicator is based on data submitted to the LDE by Louisiana public and private universities to LDE in compliance with La. R.S. 17:3912 (since repealed).

Table 11
First-time College Freshmen Performance

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
053002 Amite High School													
	Number of High School Graduates ¹		104		107		98		120		105		~
	HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	36.54	38	27.10	29	41.84	41	43.33	52	30.48	32	~	~
	First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Courses	44.74	17	48.28	14	43.90	18	46.15	24	50.00	16	~	~
053009 Hammond High School													
	Number of High School Graduates ¹		170		157		181		211		213		~
	HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	43.53	74	44.59	70	46.41	84	48.82	103	43.19	92	~	~
	First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Courses	54.05	40	54.29	38	53.57	45	46.60	48	52.17	48	~	~
053012 Independence High School													
	Number of High School Graduates ¹		82		92		89		103		~		~
	HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	31.71	26	29.35	27	37.08	33	27.18	28	~	~	~	~
	First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Courses	30.77	8	51.85	14	48.48	16	64.29	18	~	~	~	~
053015 Kentwood High School													
	Number of High School Graduates ¹		28		46		49		40		32		~
	HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	17.86	5	10.87	5	12.24	6	25.00	10	34.38	11	~	~
	First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Courses	40.00	2	100.00	5	16.67	1	50.00	5	63.64	7	~	~
053017 Loranger High School													
	Number of High School Graduates ¹		52		57		78		73		78		~
	HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	42.31	22	33.33	19	26.92	21	34.25	25	39.74	31	~	~
	First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Courses	77.27	17	42.11	8	52.38	11	60.00	15	61.29	19	~	~
053024 Ponchatoula High School													
	Number of High School Graduates ¹		199		192		206		262		234		~
	HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	36.68	73	41.67	80	36.89	76	45.42	119	46.15	108	~	~
	First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Courses	36.99	27	45.00	36	48.68	37	39.50	47	34.26	37	~	~
053029 Sumner, Jewel M., High School													
	Number of High School Graduates ¹		50		62		52		61		64		~
	HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	20.00	10	19.35	12	28.85	15	34.43	21	17.19	11	~	~
	First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Courses	70.00	7	75.00	9	40.00	6	57.14	12	45.45	5	~	~
053044 Tangipahoa Parish Magnet High School													
	Number of High School Graduates ¹		27		22		23		25		~		~
	HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	4.00	1	~	~	~	~
	First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Courses	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	100.00	1	~	~	~	~

¹ Represents graduates from the previous school year.

~ = Unavailable data

Table 11
First-time College Freshmen Performance

		1992-93		1993-94		1994-95		1995-96		1996-97		1997-98	
		<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Number</i>
District (Public)													
	Number of High School Graduates ¹		712		735		776		895		726		~
	HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	34.83	248	32.93	242	35.57	276	40.11	359	39.25	285	~	~
	First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Courses	47.58	118	51.24	124	48.55	134	47.35	170	46.31	132	~	~
State (Public)													
	Number of High School Graduates ¹		33,593		33,772		34,937		36,275		36,407		~
	HS Graduates Who Were First-time College Freshmen	38.66	12,986	44.15	14,912	40.30	14,079	40.27	14,608	37.62	13,697	~	~
	First-time Freshmen Enrolled in College Remedial Courses	53.70	6,973	55.00	8,201	47.77	6,726	48.64	7,106	49.93	6,839	~	~

¹ Represents graduates from the previous school year.

~ = Unavailable data

aggregate days attendance—the sum of the total number of days that students are *present* at the school site over the course of the school year.

aggregate days membership—the sum of the total number of days that students are *enrolled* (but not necessarily *present* at the school site) over the course of the school year.

class—a grouping of children under the primary supervision and instruction of an individual teacher for all or part of the instructional day, as reported for purposes of the *Annual School Report* (ASR) and identifiable by a specific ASR course code.

combination school category—any school whose grade structure falls within the K-12 range and is not described by any of the other school category definitions. These schools generally contain some grades in the K-6 range and grades in the 9-12 range. Examples would include grade configurations such as K-12, K-3, 9-12, 4-6, and 9-12.

criterion-referenced test (CRT)—tests that produce a score that tells how individuals/schools perform in achieving an established criterion; LEAP CRT results (as reported by *Progress Profiles*) show the number and percent of Louisiana students who meet or exceed state curriculum content standards.

cumulative enrollment—the sum of all students enrolled in a school or district for at least one school day during the course of the school year, used as the denominator for calculating school- and district-level suspension and expulsion percents.

day of attendance—a student is considered in attendance when he or she 1) is physically present at a school site or is participating in an authorized school activity and 2) is under the supervision of authorized personnel. This definition extends to students who are homebound, assigned to and participating in drug rehabilitation programs that contain a state-approved education component, or participating in school-authorized field trips.

“Students who meet the above criteria and are present at the school site for 26-50% of the student’s instructional day shall be credited with a half day’s attendance. Those who meet the above

criteria and are present for at least 51% of the student’s instructional day are credited with a whole day’s attendance. Students who are not physically present or who are participating for 25% or less of their instructional day will be considered absent for reporting purposes. Absences, whether excused or unexcused, shall be counted as an absence for reporting to the Department.” (Bulletin 741)

dropout—the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) defines a dropout as “an individual who was enrolled in school at some time during the previous school year, was not enrolled at the beginning of the current school year, has not graduated from high school or completed an approved educational program, and does not meet any of the following exclusive conditions: transfer to another public school district, private school, or state- or district-approved education program; temporary absence due to suspension or school-approved illness; or death.”

“For purposes of applying the dropout definition, the following definitions also apply:

1. A school year is defined as the 12-month period of time beginning October 1 and ending September 30.
2. An individual has graduated from high school or completed a state- or district-approved education program upon receipt of formal recognition from school authorities.
3. A state or district approved program is one that leads to receipt of formal recognition from school authorities. It may include special education programs, home-based instruction, and school-sponsored secondary (but **NOT** adult) programs leading to a GED or some other certification differing from the regular diploma” (NCES, 1993).

elementary school category—any school whose grade structure falls within the K-8 range, excludes grades in the 9-12 range, and does not fit the definition for middle/junior high.

faculty—school-based instructional personnel. In addition to full-time classroom teachers, these individuals include principals, assistant

principals, guidance counselors, librarians, and other instructional staff (provided they teach at least one course).

first-time college freshman—a student who graduates from high school during a given school year and is enrolled full time in a Louisiana higher education institution the following fall semester. A student must begin the fall semester with fewer than 12 hours credit previously attempted (not including advanced placement credits and correspondence study) to be considered a first-time freshman.

graduate—a student who successfully completes a BESE-approved education program, passes the Graduation Exit Examination (GEE), and thus earns a state-approved diploma. Students who earn GEDs are not included.

high school category—any school whose grade structure falls within the 6-12 range and includes grades in the 10-12 range, or any school that contains only grade 9.

in-school expulsion—student is temporarily removed from his/her usual classroom placement to an alternative setting for a period of time specified by the LEA and no interruption of instructional services occurs.

in-school suspension—student is temporarily removed from his/her usual classroom placement to an alternative setting for a minimum of one complete school day and no interruption of instructional services occurs.

Percentile rank of average standard scores for national student norms—percentile rank of the average student in the school, district, or state. For example, a percentile rank of 48 for a school means that 48 percent of the students in the norm group scored at or below the average score obtained by the students in the school.

middle/junior high category—any school whose grade structure falls within the 4-9 range, includes grades 7 or 8 and excludes grades in the K-3 and 10-12 ranges.

norm-referenced test (NRT)—tests that produce a score that tells how individuals/schools perform in comparison with other individuals/schools; LEAP NRT results (as reported by *Progress Profiles*) show how Louisiana schools perform when compared with the district, state, and nation.

October 1 membership—total number of students enrolled in a school on October 1, which is operationally defined by NCES as the first day of the academic school year.

out-of-school expulsion—removal (exit) of a student from school for a determined number of days with no provision of instructional services.

out-of-school suspension—student is temporarily prohibited from participation in his/her usual placement within school with no provision of instructional service; only suspensions resulting in removal for at least one full day are included.

percent of student attendance—the ratio of aggregate days student attendance to aggregate days membership.

remedial course—a course designed by a university to prepare students to succeed academically in college-level courses. Remedial/developmental courses may be offered for college credit (i.e., they are taken into consideration in determining whether students are part-time or full-time) but do not carry degree credit.

